MSA101/MVE187 2020 Lecture 9.1 From Information to Kullback-Leibler divergence

Petter Mostad

Chalmers University

September 23, 2020

We assume given a probability mass function $\pi(x)$ on a finite set.

- ► We want to define the "information" h(x) in an event x. Requirements:
 - An event with probability 1 should have zero information.
 - The information should increase with decreasing probability $\pi(x)$.
 - The information in two independent events should be the sum of the information in each.
- We define $h(x) = -\log(\pi(x))$.
- When using the base 2 logarithm log₂, information is measured in "bits". We however use the natural logarithm.

► Define the entropy *H*[*X*] of the random variable *X* as the expected information:

$$H[X] = \sum_{x} h(x)\pi(x) = -\sum_{x} \pi(x)\log(\pi(x))$$

- ▶ Note: *H*[*X*] is always non-negative.
- Example: A uniform distribution on n values has entropy log n. This is the largest entropy possible for a distribution on n values.
- Shannon's coding theorem: The entropy (using log₂) is a lower bound on the expected number of bits needed to transfer the information from X.

(Differential) entropy for continuous distributions

▶ For any random variable X, its (differential) entropy is defined as

$$H[X] = E[-\log(\pi(x))] = -\int_{x} \log(\pi(x))\pi(x) \, dx$$

- ► *H*[*X*] may now be negative.
- Example: Assume $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then

$$E\left[-\log(\pi(x))\right] = E\left[-\log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right] \\ = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}E\left[(x-\mu)^2\right] = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{2}$$

In fact, among all random variables X with E[X] = μ and Var[X] = σ², the normal has the largest entropy.

Conditional entropy and mutual information

The conditional entropy is defined as

$$H[Y|X] = \int \left[\int \pi(y \mid x)(-\log(\pi(y \mid x))) \, dy\right] \, \pi(x) \, dx$$

Show that

$$H[X,Y] = H[Y|X] + H[X].$$

The mutual information is defined as

$$I[X, Y] = -\int \int \pi(x, y) \log \left(\frac{\pi(x)\pi(y)}{\pi(x, y)}\right) dx dy$$

Show that

$$I[X, Y] = H[X] + H[Y] - H[X, Y]$$

► For two densities p(x) and q(x) we define the Kullback-Leibler divergence from p to q as

$$\mathsf{KL}[p||q] = -\int p(x) \log\left(rac{q(x)}{p(x)}
ight) dx$$

- ▶ Note that KL[p||q] is generally different from KL[q||p].
- ► However, it has the distance property that KL[p||q] ≥ 0 always, while KL[p||q] = 0 if and only if p = q.
- The standard proof uses Jensen's inequality.
- Jensen's inequality: If a function ψ is *convex*, then $\psi(\mathsf{E}[X]) \leq \mathsf{E}[\psi(X)]$.

The KL divergence

Note that

$$\mathsf{KL}\left(\pi(x,y)||\pi(x)\pi(y)\right) = I[X,Y]$$

Note that

$$\mathsf{KL}[p||q] = \mathsf{E}_{\rho}\left[-\log(q(x))\right] - H_{\rho}[X]$$

where X is a random variable with density p(x).

► EXAMPLE: Assume X ~ Normal(μ_X, σ²_X) and Y ~ Normal(μ_Y, σ²_Y). Show by direct computation that

$$\mathsf{KL}\left[\pi_{X} || \pi_{Y}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma_{Y}^{2}) + \frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{2\sigma_{Y}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_{Y}^{2}} (\mu_{X} - \mu_{Y})^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma_{X}^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}.$$

We see how the result is zero when the two distributions are identical.

We see how $KL[\pi_X || \pi_Y] \neq KL[\pi_Y || \pi_X]$ in general.

MSA101/MVE187 2020 Lecture 9.2 The EM algorithm

Petter Mostad

Chalmers University

September 24, 2020

Maximum posterior (MAP)

- The advantages and disadvantages of only finding the Maximal APosteriori (MAP): The value θ̂ that maximizes the posterior π(θ | data).
- When the prior is flat, π(θ) ∝ 1, this corresponds to finding the maximum likelihood (ML) estimate for θ.
- This should be easy to compute when θ consists of all unknown variables: Just differentiate log(π(θ | data)), i.e. differentiate log(π(data | θ)π(θ)).
- Much harder if the model also contains other unknown variables Z: Then π(θ | data) is the marginal of π(θ, Z | data) and much harder to maximize.
- The Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm comes to the rescue...

The EM algorithm

We want to find the θ maximizing the posterior π(θ | x); i.e., maximizing

$$\log\left(\pi(x\mid\theta)\pi(\theta)\right) = \log(\pi(x\mid\theta)) + \log(\pi(\theta))$$

 Assume we have a joint model π(x, z | θ) which includes augmented data z. We may then write, for any density q(z),

$$\log(\pi(x \mid \theta)) + \log(\pi(\theta)) = \mathsf{KL}(q \mid | \pi_z) + \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) + \log(\pi(\theta)) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(q, heta) = \int q(z) \log \left(rac{\pi(x, z \mid heta)}{q(z)}
ight) dz$$

and

$$\mathsf{KL}(q||\pi_z) = -\int q(z) \log\left(rac{\pi_z(z \mid x, heta)}{q(z)}
ight) dz$$

The EM algorithm, cont.

- Fix $q(z) = \pi_z(z \mid x, \theta^{old})$ for some value θ^{old} .
- ▶ With this q(z), KL $(q||\pi_z)$ will be zero when $\theta = \theta^{old}$ and positive for other θ 's. THUS: If we find θ^{new} maximizing $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta) + \log(\pi(\theta))$, so that $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{new}) + \log(\pi(\theta^{new})) > \mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{old}) + \log(\pi(\theta^{old}))$, replacing θ^{old} with θ^{new} will increase the right side of Equation 1, and thus also the left side.
- Set θ^{old} to the value θ^{new} and start again from the first step above. Continue until convergence.
- Note that maximizing $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta) + \log(\pi(\theta))$ is the same as maximizing

$$\int q(z) \log \left(\pi(x, z \mid heta)
ight) \, dz + \log(\pi(heta))$$

where the left term is the expected full loglikelihood, taking the expectation over the density $q(z) = \pi_z(z \mid x, \theta^{old})$.

• E-step: Computing the expectation above. M-step: Maximizing.

MSA101/MVE187 2020 Lecture 9.3 The EM algorithm: A toy example

Petter Mostad

Chalmers University

October 8, 2020

A toy example

We have data x_1, \ldots, x_n , where we assume the following model, with a single parameter μ : With probability 0.5, $x_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$ and with probability 0.5, $x_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, 1)$. We assume a flat prior on μ .

The likelihood can be written as

$$\pi(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n (0.5 \cdot \text{Normal}(x_i; 0, 1) + 0.5 \cdot \text{Normal}(x_i; \mu, 1))$$

- > With the loglikelihood programmed numerically, we may for example
 - Optimize to find the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\mu}$ for μ .
 - Simulate from the posterior, using, e.g., discretization.
- Instead, we may introduce *augmented* data z₁,..., z_n, where each z_i has value 0 or 1, so that z_i ∼ Bernoulli(0.5) and x_i | z_i ∼ Normal(z_i · µ, 1). The full joint density may be written as

$$\pi(x_1,\ldots,x_n,z_1,\ldots,z_n,\mu)\propto\prod_{i=1}^n\pi(x_i\mid z_i,\mu)=\prod_{i=1}^n\mathsf{Normal}(x_i;z_i\cdot\mu,1)$$

The augmented model may be used both for simulation (using Gibbs sampling (inefficient)) and for finding the μ maximizing the posterior using the EM-algorithm.

A toy example: Using the EM algorithm

First, find the complete data logposterior (which in our case is the same as the loglikelihood). It is (up to a constant)

$$l(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{1}{2}(x_i - z_i \cdot \mu)^2$$

▶ Then, for a fixed value $\mu = \mu^{old}$, find the distribution $z_i \mid x_i, \mu^{old}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, z_i = 0, \dots, \mu^{old}) &= K \cdot \operatorname{Normal}(x_i; 0, 1) \\ \pi(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, z_i = 1, \dots, \mu^{old}) &= K \cdot \operatorname{Normal}(x_i; \mu^{old}, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing the distribution, we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} z_i \mid x_i, \mu^{old} & \sim & \mathsf{Bernoulli}(p_i), \text{ where} \\ p_i & = & \frac{\mathsf{Normal}(x_i; \mu^{old}, 1)}{\mathsf{Normal}(x_i; 0, 1) + \mathsf{Normal}(x_i; \mu^{old}, 1)} \end{array}$$

E step: Compute E_Z[I(μ)]. M step: Set μ^{new} as the parameter maximizing this function.

A toy example continued

► The E step becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}_{Z}[I(\mu)] &= \mathsf{E}_{Z}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{1}{2}(x_{i} - z_{i}\mu)^{2}\right] \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{Z}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} - 2x_{i}z_{i}\mu + z_{i}^{2}\mu^{2}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} - 2x_{i}\mathsf{E}_{Z}[z_{i}]\mu + \mathsf{E}_{Z}[z_{i}^{2}]\mu^{2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} - 2x_{i}p_{i}\mu + p_{i}\mu^{2} \end{aligned}$$

► The M step becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathsf{E}_{Z}[I(\mu)] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-2x_{i}p_{i} + 2p_{i}\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}p_{i} - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = 0$$

resulting in $\mu^{new} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}p_{i}\right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right).$