MVE550 2020 Lecture 13.1
Dobrow Sections 8.4, 8.5
Zeros of Brownian motion.
Variants of Brownian motion

Petter Mostad

Chalmers University

December 5, 2020

Review / overview

- Zeros of Brownian motion.
- Brownian bridge.
- Brownian motion with drift.
- Geometric Brownian motion.

Zeros of Brownian motion

- Let L be the *last zero* in $(0, 1)$ of Brownian motion. (In other words, $L = \max\{t : 0 < t < 1, B_t = 0\}$. Then

$$L \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

- Outline of proof on next page.
- Consequence: Let L_t be the last zero in $(0, t)$. Then

$$L_t/t \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

- Note: The probability that Brownian motion has at least one zero in (r, t) for $0 \le r < t$ is $1 - \Pr(L_t < r)$.
- Note: The cumulative distribution for the Beta density can be computed with the arcsin function:

$$\Pr(L_t < r) = \int_0^{r/t} \text{Beta}\left(s; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\, ds = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{t}}\right)$$

Outline of proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pr(L > s) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr(L > s \mid B_s = t)\, \mathrm{Normal}(t; 0, s)\, dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pr(M_{1-s} > t)\, \mathrm{Normal}(t; 0, s)\, dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2 \Pr(B_{1-s} > t)\, \mathrm{Normal}(t; 0, s)\, dt \\
&= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} \mathrm{Normal}(r; 0, 1-s)\, \mathrm{Normal}(t; 0, s)\, dr\, dt \\
&= \ldots \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\, dx \\
&= \int_{s}^{1} \mathrm{Beta}\left(x; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\, dx
\end{aligned}
$$

Brownian bridge

- Define a Gaussian process X_t by conditioning Brownian motion B_t on $B_1 = 0$. Then X_t is a *Brownian bridge*.
- If $0 < s < t < 1$ then (B_s, B_t, B_1) is multivariate normal with

$$\mathrm{E}\left((B_s, B_t, B_1)\right) = (0,0,0), \quad \mathrm{Var}\left((B_s, B_t, B_1)\right) = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} s & s & s \\ s & t & t \\ s & t & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

  Conditioning on $B_1 = 0$ and using properties of the multivariate normal (or see Dobrow) we get

$$\mathrm{Cov}\left(X_s, X_t\right) = s - st.$$

- Define another Gaussian process with $Y_t = B_t - tB_1$. Then we see that $\mathrm{E}\left(Y_t\right) = 0$ and (when $0 < s < t < 1$)

$$\mathrm{Cov}\left(Y_s, Y_t\right) = s - st.$$

  It follows that this is identical to the Brownian bridge defined above.
- Example: Estimate by simulation: If a Brownian motion fulfills $B_1 = 0$, what is the probability that it has values below -1?

Brownian motion with a drift

- For real μ and $\sigma > 0$ define the Gaussian process X_t as

$$X_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$$

  This is *Brownian motion with a drift*, and is often a more useful model than standard Brownian motion.
- Examples:
  - The amount won or lost in a game of chance that is not fair (approximating discrete winnings / losses with continuous changes).
  - The score difference between two competing sports teams (approximating this difference with a continuous function).
- This is a Gaussian process with continuous paths and stationary and independent increments.
- Example: Computing the chance of winning team game based on intermdiate score.
- If a Brownian motion with drift is observed at points $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and μ and σ are not fixed, there are priors so that we can do conjugate analysis, and analytically get a posterior process. However this posterior process is not a Gaussian process.

Geometric Brownian motion

- The stochastic process

$$G_t = G_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma B_t}$$

where $G_0 > 0$ is called *geometric Brownian motion* with drift parameter μ and variance parameter σ^2.

- $\log(G_t)$ is a Gaussian process with expectation $\log(G_0) + \mu t$ and variance $t\sigma^2$.
- Show that
  - $\mathrm{E}(G_t) = G_0 e^{t(\mu + \sigma^2/2)}$
  - $\mathrm{Var}(G_t) = G_0^2 e^{2t(\mu + \sigma^2/2)}(e^{t\sigma^2} - 1)$
- Natural model for things that develop by multiplication of random independent factors, rather than addition of random independent increments. Example: Stock prices.

MVE550 2020 Lecture 13.2
Dobrow section 8.5, 8.6
Modelling stocks and options.
Martingales. Black Scholes

Petter Mostad

Chalmers University

December 5, 2020

Modelling stock price with geometric Brownian motion

- To model the price of a stock, it is reasonable to
  - use a continuous-time stochastic model.
  - consider the *factor* with which it changes, not the differences in prices.
  - consider normal distributions for such factors (?)
  - use a parameter for the trend of the price, and one for the variability of the price.
  - make a Markov assumption(??)
- This leads to using a geometric Brownian motion as model

$$G_t = G_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma B_t}$$

  In this context σ is called the *volatility* of the stock.
- Example: A stock price is modelled with $G_0 = 67.3$, $\mu = 0.08$, $\sigma = 0.3$. What is the probability that the price is above 100 after 3 years?

Discounting future values

- When making investments, there is always a range of choices, some of which are sometimes called "risk free". Such investments may pay a fixed interest.
- When interests are compounded frequently, a reasonable model is that an investment of G_0 has a value $G_0 e^{rt}$ after time t, where r is the "risk free" investment rate of return.
- A common way to take this alternative into account is to instead "discount" all other investments with the factor e^{-rt}.
- For example, the value of a stock may be modelled with

$$e^{-rt} G_t = e^{-rt} G_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma B_t} = G_0 e^{(\mu - r)t + \sigma B_t}$$

  So discounting corresponds to adjusting the trend parameter from μ to $\mu - r$.

Stock options

- A (European) stock option is a right (but not obligation) to buy a stock at a given time t in the future for a given price K.
- How much can you expect to earn from a stock option at that future time?
- We get that (see next page)

  $$\mathrm{E}\left(\max\left(G_t - K, 0\right)\right) = G_0 e^{t(\mu+\sigma^2/2)} \Pr\left(B_1 > \frac{\beta - \sigma t}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - K \Pr\left(B_1 > \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

  where $\beta = (\log(K/G_0) - \mu t)/\sigma$.
- Example: A stock price is modelled with $G_0 = 67.3$, $\mu = 0.08$, $\sigma = 0.3$. What is the expected payoff from an option to buy the stock at 100 in 3 years?

Proof

- Prove the algebraic identity
$$e^{\sigma x}\,\mathrm{Normal}(x;0,t) = e^{\sigma^2 t/2}\,\mathrm{Normal}(x,\sigma t,t)$$
- Then, defining $\beta = \left(\log(K/G_0) - \mu t\right)/\sigma$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(\max\left(G_t - K, 0\right)\right) = \mathrm{E}\left(\max\left(G_0 e^{\mu+\sigma B_t} - K, 0\right)\right) \\
= \;& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max\left(G_0 e^{\mu t+\sigma x} - K, 0\right) \mathrm{Normal}(x;0,t)\,dx \\
= \;& \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left(G_0 e^{\mu t+\sigma x} - K\right) \mathrm{Normal}(x;0,t)\,dx \\
= \;& G_0 e^{\mu t} \int_{\beta}^{\infty} e^{\sigma x}\,\mathrm{Normal}(x;0,t)\,dx - K \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \mathrm{Normal}(x;0,t)\,dx \\
= \;& G_0 e^{t(\mu+\sigma^2/2)} \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \mathrm{Normal}(x;\sigma t,t)\,dx - K \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \mathrm{Normal}(x;0,t)\,dx \\
= \;& G_0 e^{t(\mu+\sigma^2/2)}\,\Pr\left(B_1 > \frac{\beta - \sigma t}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - K\,\Pr\left(B_1 > \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Martingales

- A stochastic process $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a *martingale* if for $t \geq 0$
  - $\mathrm{E}(Y_t \mid Y_r, 0 \leq r \leq s) = Y_s$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$.
  - $\mathrm{E}(|Y_t|) < \infty$.
- Brownian motion is a martingale.
- $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a *martingale with respec to* $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ if for all $t \geq 0$
  - $\mathrm{E}(Y_t \mid X_r, 0 \leq r \leq s) = Y_s$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$.
  - $\mathrm{E}(|Y_t|) < \infty$.
- Example: Define $Y_t = B_t^2 - t$ for $t \geq 0$. Then Y_t is a martingale with respect to Brownian motion.

Geometric Brownian motion can be a martingale

Let G_t be Geometric Brownian motion. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}\left(G_t \mid B_r, 0 \le r \le s\right) \\ = \quad & \mathrm{E}\left(G_0 e^{\mu t + \sigma B_t} \mid B_r, 0 \le r \le s\right) \\ = \quad & \mathrm{E}\left(G_0 e^{\mu(t-s)+\sigma(B_t - B_s)} e^{\mu s + \sigma B_s} \mid B_r, 0 \le r \le s\right) \\ = \quad & \mathrm{E}\left(G_{t-s}\right) e^{\mu s + \sigma B_s} \\ = \quad & G_0 e^{t(\mu+\sigma^2/2)} e^{\mu s + \sigma B_s} \\ = \quad & G_s e^{t(\mu+\sigma^2/2)} \end{aligned}$$

- We see that G_t is a martingale with respect to B_t if and only if $\mu + \sigma^2/2 = 0$.

The Black-Scholes formula for option pricing

- It is not easy to get a reliable estimate for μ in the model of a stock, even if one can get an estimate of σ, the volatility.
- A possibility is to *assume* that the *discounted* value of the stock is a martingale relative to Brownian motion: So on average it is not better or worse to invest in the stock than in a "risk free" investment.
- This means that $\mu - r + \sigma^2/2 = 0$, i.e., $\mu = r - \sigma^2/2$.
- Plugging this into the formula for the value of a stock option and multiplying with e^{-rt} we get

  $$e^{-rt}\,\mathrm{E}\left(\max\left(G_t - K, 0\right)\right) = G_0 \Pr\left(B_1 > \frac{\beta - \sigma t}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - e^{-rt} K \Pr\left(B_1 > \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

  where $\beta = (\log(K/G_0) - (r - \sigma^2/2)t)/\sigma$.
- This is the Black-Scholes formula for option pricing.
- With $r = 0.02$, $G_0 = 67.3$, $\sigma = 0.3$, $t = 3$, and $K = 70$, we get the discounted stock option price 3.39.