	TABLI	E P8.1
L	eaf Spring	g Experiment

A	В	С	D	E	Free Height		
_	_	_	_	_	7.78	7.78	7.81
+	_	_	+	_	8.15	8.18	7.88
_	+	_	+	_	7.50	7.56	7.50
+	+	_	_	_	7.59	7.56	7.75
_	_	+	+	_	7.54	8.00	7.88
+	_	+	_	_	7.69	8.09	8.06
_	+	+	_	_	7.56	7.52	7.44
+	+	+	+	_	7.56	7.81	7.69
_	_	_	_	+	7.50	7.25	7.12
+	_	_	+	+	7.88	7.88	7.44
_	+	_	+	+	7.50	7.56	7.50
+	+	_	_	+	7.63	7.75	7.56
_	_	+	+	+	7.32	7.44	7.44
+	_	+	_	+	7.56	7.69	7.62
_	+	+	_	+	7.18	7.18	7.25
+	+	+	+	+	7.81	7.50	7.59

- (a) Write out the alias structure for this design. What is the resolution of this design?
- (b) Analyze the data. What factors influence the mean free height?
- (c) Calculate the range and standard deviation of the free height for each run. Is there any indication that any of these factors affects variability in the free height?
- (d) Analyze the residuals from this experiment, and comment on your findings.
- (e) Is this the best possible design for five factors in 16 runs? Specifically, can you find a fractional design for five factors in 16 runs with a higher resolution than this one?
- ("More on Planning Experiments to Increase Research Efficiency," 1970, pp. 60–65) uses a 2^{5-2} design to investigate the effect of A = condensation temperature, B = amount of material 1, C = solvent volume, D = condensation time, and E = amount of material 2 on yield. The results obtained are as follows:

$$e = 23.2 \ ad = 16.9 \ cd = 23.8 \ bde = 16.8$$

 $ab = 15.5 \ bc = 16.2 \ ace = 23.4 \ abcde = 18.1$

- (a) Verify that the design generators used were I = ACE and I = BDE.
- (b) Write down the complete defining relation and the aliases for this design.
- (c) Estimate the main effects.
- (d) Prepare an analysis of variance table. Verify that the AB and AD interactions are available to use as error.
- (e) Plot the residuals versus the fitted values. Also construct a normal probability plot of the residuals. Comment on the results.

8.12. Consider the leaf spring experiment in Problem 8.7. Suppose that factor *E* (quench oil temperature) is very difficult to control during manufacturing. Where would you set factors *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* to reduce variability in the free height as much as possible regardless of the quench oil temperature used?

Construct a 2^{7-2} design by choosing two four-factor interactions as the independent generators. Write down the complete alias structure for this design. Outline the analysis of variance table. What is the resolution of this design?

- **8.14.** Consider the 2^5 design in Problem 6.24. Suppose that only a one-half fraction could be run. Furthermore, two days were required to take the 16 observations, and it was necessary to confound the 2^{5-1} design in two blocks. Construct the design and analyze the data.
- **8.15.** Analyze the data in Problem 6.26 as if it came from a 2_{IV}^{4-1} design with I = ABCD. Project the design into a full factorial in the subset of the original four factors that appear to be significant.
- **8.16.** Repeat Problem 8.15 using I = -ABCD. Does the use of the alternate fraction change your interpretation of the data?
- **8.17.** Project the 2_{1V}^{4-1} design in Example 8.1 into two replicates of a 2^2 design in the factors A and B. Analyze the data and draw conclusions.

8. Construct a 2_{III}^{5-2} design. Determine the effects that may be estimated if a full fold over of this design is performed.

8. Construct a 2_{III}^{6-3} design. Determine the effects that may be estimated if a full fold over of this design is performed.

Consider the 2_{III}^{6-3} design in Problem 8.18. Determine the effects that may be estimated if a single factor fold over of this design is run with the signs for factor *A* reversed.

Fold over the 2_{III}^{7-4} design in Table 8.19 to produce an eight-factor design. Verify that the resulting design is a 2_{IV}^{8-4} design. Is this a minimal design?

Fold over a $2_{\rm III}^{5-2}$ design to produce a six-factor design. Verify that the resulting design is a $2_{\rm IV}^{6-2}$ design. Compare this design to the $2_{\rm IV}^{6-2}$ design in Table 8.10.

623. An industrial engineer is conducting an experiment using a Monte Carlo simulation model of an inventory system. The independent variables in her model are the order quantity (*A*), the reorder point (*B*), the setup cost (*C*), the backorder cost (*D*), and the carrying cost rate (*E*). The response variable is average annual cost. To conserve computer time, she decides to investigate these factors using a 2_{III}^{5-2} design with I = ABD and I = BCE. The results she obtains are de = 95, ae = 134, b = 158, abd = 190, cd = 92, ac = 187, bce = 155, and abcde = 185.

- (a) Verify that the treatment combinations given are correct. Estimate the effects, assuming three-factor and higher interactions are negligible.
- (b) Suppose that a second fraction is added to the first, for example, ade = 136, e = 93, ab = 187, bd = 153,