

Recall: • $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|f\|_{L^2} < \infty \right\}$,

$$\|f\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{(f, f)_{L^2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

• $H^1(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|f\|_{H^1} < \infty \right\}$,

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f'\|_{L^2}^2$$

• $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : f(0) = 0, f(1) = 0 \right\}$

• Poincaré: $\mathbb{R} = (0, 1)$

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) = f(x) \\ u(0) = 0 \\ u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_2 \cdot \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R})$$

• (-S):

$$|(f, g)_{L^2}| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \cdot \|g\|_{L^2}$$

8) Strong form, weak and variational form,
and minimisation:

Consider Poisson's equation

$$(BVP) \begin{cases} -u''(x) = f(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega = (0, 1) \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Here f is given (continuous + bounded).

We look for $u \in C^2(\Omega)$.

The above formulation of the problem is

called the strong form of the problem.

Rem! Book $- (a(x)u'(x))' = f(x)$

Rem: The finite element method, see later, is
based on a reformulation of (BVP).

We next present a reformulation of (BVP).

Idea (Galerkin)

Consider $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v(0) = 0, v(1) = 0\}$

We then multiply (BVP) with a test function $v \in H_0^1$

and integrate over $\Omega = (0, 1)$:

$$-\int_0^1 u''(x)v(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$$

Do an integration by part:

$$\underbrace{-u'(x)v(x)}_0^1 + \int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$$

$$-u'(1)v(1) + u'(0)v(0) = 0 \quad \text{since } v \in H_0^1$$

$\stackrel{\text{II}}{0} \qquad \stackrel{\text{II}}{1}$

Finally, we obtain the weak or variational form

of (BVP) :

(VF) Find $u \in H_0^1$ s.t.

$$\int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$$

compact notation:

$$(u', v')_{L^2} = (f, v)_{L^2} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$$

(def inner product L^2)

But there is another way to look at the problem:

Idea (Ritz)

Consider $F(v) = \frac{1}{2}(v', v')_{L^2} - (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$

Why?

Let u be a solution (VF) and compute

$$\begin{aligned} F(u+v) &= \frac{1}{2} (u'+v', u'+v')_{L^2} - (f, u+v)_{L^2} = \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (u', u')_{L^2}}_{\stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (v', v')_{L^2}}_{=} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (u', v')_{L^2}}_{=} - \underbrace{(f, u)}_{=} - \underbrace{(f, v)}_{=} \\ &= (u', v')_{L^2} - (f, v)_{L^2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (u', u')_{L^2}}_{F(u)} - (f, u) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (v', v')_{L^2}}_{\geq 0} \\ &\quad \stackrel{\text{"0 since } u \text{ sol.}}{} \quad F(u) \quad \geq 0 \\ &> F(u) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\underbrace{F(u+v)}_{\in H_0'} \geq F(u)$ $\forall v \in H_0'$

$$\begin{aligned} F: H_0' &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \Rightarrow F(w) &\geq F(u) \quad \forall w \in H_0' \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a minimisation problem!

(MP) Find $u \in H_0'$ s.t. $F(u)$ is minimised.

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{2} (w', w') - (f, w)$$

Summary: " u sol. (BVP)/strong" \Rightarrow " u sol. (VF)/weak"

\Rightarrow " u sol. to (MP)"

? \Leftarrow ?

(MP) \Rightarrow (VF):

We start with u sol. to (MP) : $F(u) \leq \underbrace{F(u+\varepsilon v)}_{\in H_0'} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

Tidea (Euler) Consider $F(u+\varepsilon v)$ as a function of ε !

It means if u minimise $F(u)$, $\varepsilon=0$ is a minimum for

$F(u+\varepsilon v)$. Hence, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} F(u+\varepsilon v) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$.

We compute $\bar{F}(u+\varepsilon v) = \frac{1}{2} (u'+\varepsilon v', u'+\varepsilon v')_{L^2} - (f, u+\varepsilon v)_{L^2} =$
 $\stackrel{\text{Def } F}{=} \frac{1}{2} (u', u')_{L^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (v', v')_{L^2} + 2\frac{\varepsilon}{2} (u', v')_{L^2} - (f, u)_{L^2} - \varepsilon (f, v)_{L^2}$

We derive:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \bar{F}(u+\varepsilon v) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \varepsilon (v', v')_{L^2} + (u', v')_{L^2} - (f, v)_{L^2} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \\ = (u', v')_{L^2} - (f, v)_{L^2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Thus $(u', v')_{L^2} = (f, v)_{L^2} \quad \forall v \in H_0'$

This means that u is also sol. to (VF).

(VF) \Rightarrow (BVP): assuming in addition that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$.

From (VF), we know that $(u', v')_{L^2} = (f, v)_{L^2} \quad \forall v \in H_0'$
 $\int u' v$

Doing an integration by part gives us

$$\underbrace{-u'(x)v(x)\Big|_0^1}_{=0 \text{ since } v \in H_0^1} - \int_0^1 u''(x)v(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$$

This gives us : $-\int_0^1 u''(x)v(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$

or $\int_0^1 (u''(x)+f(x))v(x)dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1 (*)$

Now we show that, by contradiction, (*) implies $u''(x)+f(x)=0$ for $x \in \Omega = (0,1)$, i.e. (BVP).

Assume, by contradiction, that $u''+f \neq 0$. Since $u \in C^2(\Lambda)$,

$u''+f$ is continuous and then $\exists x \in \Omega$ s.t.

$u''+f \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of this x .

We define $v \in H_0^1$ s.t. it has the same sign as $u''+f$

on this neighbourhood and zero else. Now we look

$$\int_0^1 (u''(x)+f(x))v(x)dx \neq 0 \quad \text{This contradicts (*) and} \\ \text{is } > 0 \text{ on the neighbourhood}$$

thus we must have $u''(x)+f(x)=0$ for $x \in \Omega$, i.e.
 u is sol. to (BVP) / strong.

↳ Th! Strong/(BVP) \Rightarrow weak(VF) \Leftrightarrow (MP)
 $\Leftarrow \oplus u \in C^1(\Omega)$

But ... does (VF) have a unique sol. ??

3) Lax-Milgram theorem:

Recall: Poisson's equation: $\begin{cases} -u''(x) = f(x) & x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$

(VF) Find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ s.t. $\underbrace{(u', v')_{L^2}}_{\text{Lip}} = \underbrace{(f, v)_{L^2}}_{=: a(u, v)} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$
 $=: a(u, v) \quad \ell(v),$

where $a(u, v) := (u', v')_{L^2}, \ell(v) = (f, v)_{L^2}$

We can generalise this kind of problem, by looking at
the following:

Find $u \in H$ s.t. $a(u, v) = \ell(v) \quad \forall v \in H,$

where H is a Hilbert space

$a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear form,
bounded, coercive

$\ell: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded linear functional

Def: • A Hilbert space is an inner product space that is complete [i.e. all Cauchy sequences converge in this space]

Ex: $L^2(\Omega)$, $H^1(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$ are Hilbert spaces.

- $a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bilinear if $a(\lambda u + \mu v, w) = \lambda a(u, w) + \mu a(v, w)$ and $a(u, \lambda v + \mu w) = \lambda a(u, v) + \mu a(u, w)$ $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u, v, w \in H$.

- $a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded if $\exists \alpha > 0$ s.t.

$$|a(u, v)| \leq \alpha \cdot \|u\|_H \cdot \|v\|_H \quad \forall u, v \in H$$

- $a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is coercive if $\exists \beta > 0$ s.t.

$$a(u, u) \geq \beta \|u\|_H^2 \quad \forall u \in H$$

- $\ell: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded if $\exists \gamma > 0$ s.t.

$$|\ell(v)| \leq \gamma \cdot \|v\|_H \quad \forall v \in H$$

Rem: $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ does not need to be symmetric!

Th (Lax-Milgram)

Let a, ℓ be as above. Then there exists a unique

element $u \in H$ s.t. $a(u, v) = \ell(v) \quad \forall v \in H$.

We shall use (LM) to show that NF to Poisson's eq. has a unique solution !!