

Financial derivatives and PDE's

Lecture 3

Simone Calogero

January 21th, 2021

1 Conditional expectation

The **conditional expectation** of a random variable X is an estimate on X based on some information given, for instance, in terms of a sub- σ -algebra \mathcal{G} .

Assume first that X is the simple random variable

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mathbb{I}_{A_k}.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ be an event with $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$. The conditional expectation of the simple random variable X given the event A is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X|A] = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mathbb{P}(A_k|A).$$

As

$$X\mathbb{I}_A = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mathbb{I}_{A_k} \mathbb{I}_A = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mathbb{I}_{A_k \cap A},$$

the identity $\mathbb{E}[X|A] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{I}_A]}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$ holds. The latter can be used to define the conditional expectation of any random variable given an event:

for any $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ random variable with finite expectation, the conditional expectation of X given the event to A is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X|A] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{I}_A]}{\mathbb{P}(A)},$$

The conditional expectation of X given a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ and is defined axiomatically by requiring that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]|A] = \mathbb{E}[X|A], \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } \mathbb{P}(A) > 0. \quad (1)$$

It can be shown that there exists a unique (up to null sets) random variable $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies (1). Moreover it satisfies the properties in the following theorem:

Theorem 1 (Properties of the conditional expectation). *Let $X, Y \in L^1(\Omega)$ and \mathcal{G} be a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} . The following properties hold almost surely:*

- (i) *Linearity:* $\mathbb{E}[\alpha X + \beta Y|\mathcal{G}] = \alpha\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] + \beta\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}]$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) *Monotonicity:* If $X \leq Y$ then $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}]$.
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$;
- (iv) If X is \mathcal{G} -measurable, then $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = X$;
- (v) *Tower property:* If $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a sub- σ -algebra, then $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]|\mathcal{H}] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]$;
- (vi) If \mathcal{G} consists of trivial events only, then $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X]$;
- (vii) If X is independent of \mathcal{G} , then $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X]$;
- (viii) *Take it out what is known:* If X is \mathcal{G} -measurable, then $\mathbb{E}[XY|\mathcal{G}] = X\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}]$;
- (ix) *Jensen's inequality:* Given $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex there holds $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X)|\mathcal{G}] \geq \phi(\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}])$;
- (x) *Independence Lemma:* If X is \mathcal{G} -measurable and \mathcal{Y} is independent of \mathcal{G} , then for any measurable function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}[g(x, Y)]$$

is measurable and moreover

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X, Y)|\mathcal{G}] = f(X).$$

Martingale processes

A stochastic process $\{M(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called a **martingale** relative to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ if it is adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, $M(t) \in L^1(\Omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and

$$\mathbb{E}[M(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = M(s), \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad (2)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Hence a stochastic process is martingale if the information available up to time s does not help to predict whether the stochastic process will raise or fall after time s . If we want to emphasize that the martingale property is satisfied with respect to the probability measure \mathbb{P} , we shall say that $\{M(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{P} -martingale.

Note that, by (iii) in Theorem 1, the expectation of a martingale is constant, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}[M(t)] = \mathbb{E}[M(0)], \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Example.

The Brownian motion is a martingale relative to any non-anticipating filtration. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] &= \mathbb{E}[(W(t) - W(s))|\mathcal{F}(s)] + \mathbb{E}[W(s)|\mathcal{F}(s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[W(t) - W(s)] + W(s) = W(s), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $W(t) - W(s)$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}(s)$, and so

$$\mathbb{E}[(W(t) - W(s))|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[(W(t) - W(s))]$$

and the fact that $W(s)$ is $\mathcal{F}(s)$ -measurable and so

$$\mathbb{E}[W(s)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = W(s).$$

Markov processes

A stochastic process $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called a **Markov process** with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ if it is adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and if for every measurable function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(X(t)) \in L^1(\Omega)$, for all $t \geq 0$, there exists a measurable function $f_g : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X(t))|\mathcal{F}(s)] = f_g(t, s, X(s)), \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (4)$$

The function $f_g(t, s, \cdot)$ is called the **transition probability** of $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ from time s to time t .

If $f_g(t, s, x) = f_g(t - s, 0, x)$, for all $t \geq s$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that the Markov process is **time-homogeneous**.

Remark: $f_g(t, t, x) = g(x)$, because $\mathbb{E}[g(X(t))|\mathcal{F}(t)] = g(X(t))$.

The interpretation is the following: for a Markov process, the conditional expectation of $g(X(t))$ at the future time t depends only on the random variable $X(s)$ at time s , and not on the behavior of the process before or after time s .

For a time-homogeneous Markov process the transition between any two different times is equivalent to a transition starting at $s = 0$.

If there exists a measurable function $p : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $y \rightarrow p(t, s, x, y)$ is integrable for all $(t, s, x) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and

$$f_g(t, s, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) p(t, s, x, y) dy, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t, \quad (5)$$

holds for all bounded measurable functions g , then we call p the **transition probability density** of the Markov process.

Theorem 2. Let $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a Markov process with transition density $p(t, s, x, y)$ relative to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Assume $X(s) = x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a deterministic constant and that $\mathcal{F}(s)$ is the trivial σ -algebra. Assume also that, for all $t \geq s$, $X(t)$ admits the density $f_{X(t)}$. Then

$$f_{X(t)}(y) = p(t, s, x, y).$$

Proof. By definition of density

$$\mathbb{P}(X(t) \leq z) = \int_{-\infty}^z f_{X(t)}(y) dy,$$

Letting $X(s) = x$ into (4)-(5) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X(t))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) p(t, s, x, y) dy.$$

Choosing $g = \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty, z]}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(X(t) \leq z) = \int_{-\infty}^z p(t, s, x, y) dy,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $f_{X(t)}(y) = p(t, s, x, y)$. □

Theorem 3. Let $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a non-anticipating filtration for the Brownian motion $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a homogeneous Markov process relative to $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ with transition density $p(t, s, x, y) = p_*(t - s, x, y)$, where

$$p_*(\tau, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2\tau}}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. The statement holds for $s = t$, with $f_g(t, t, x) = g(x)$. For $s < t$ we write

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W(t)) | \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[g(W(t) - W(s) + W(s)) | \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{g}(W(s), W(t) - W(s)) | \mathcal{F}(s)],$$

where $\tilde{g}(x, y) = g(x + y)$. Since $W(t) - W(s)$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}(s)$ and $W(s)$ is $\mathcal{F}(s)$ measurable, then we can apply Theorem 1(x). Precisely, letting

$$f_g(t, s, x) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{g}(x, W(t) - W(s))],$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W(t)) | \mathcal{F}(s)] = f_g(t, s, W(s)),$$

which proves that the Brownian motion is a Markov process relative to $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. To derive the transition density we use that $Y = W(t) - W(s) \in \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(x + Y)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x + y) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2(t-s)}} dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2(t-s)}} dy,$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W(t))|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) p_*(t-s, x, y) dy \right]_{x=W(s)},$$

where p_* is given by (6). This concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

When p is given by (6), the function

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) p_*(t-s, x, y) dy \tag{7}$$

solves the **heat equation** with initial datum g at time $t = s$, namely

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u, \quad u(s, x) = g(x), \quad t > s, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{8}$$