

Lecture 10

den 3 februari 2021 09:56



Lecture 10

Financial derivatives and PDE's

Lecture 10

Simone Calogero

February 3rd, 2021

$\mathbb{E} \equiv \text{EXPECTATION in } \mathbb{P}$
 $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \equiv \text{EXPECTATION in } \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

1 Radon-Nikodým theorem

We shall need the following characterization of equivalent probability measures.

Theorem 1. Given a probability measure \mathbb{P} , the following are equivalent:

Radon
Nikodým

- (i) $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is a probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} ; $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = 0 \iff \mathbb{P}(A) = 0$
- (ii) There exists a random variable $Z : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z > 0$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely, $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}[Z\mathbb{I}_A]$, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Moreover, assuming any of these two equivalent conditions, the random variable Z is unique (up to a \mathbb{P} -null set) and for all random variables X such that $XZ \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$, we have $X \in L^1(\Omega, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \mathbb{E}[ZX]. \quad (1)$$

Now assume that $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale such that $Z(t) > 0$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}[Z(0)] = 1$.

Since martingales have constant expectation, then $\mathbb{E}[Z(t)] = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$.

By Theorem 1, the map $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}[Z(T)\mathbb{I}_A], \quad A \in \mathcal{F} \quad (2)$$

is a probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} , for all $T > 0$.

1

$\{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T\}_{T \geq 0}$ THE
ARE ALL EQUIVALENT
TO \mathbb{P}

if $Z(0) = 1$

Note that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ depends on $T > 0$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}$, for $T = 0$. The dependence on T is however not reflected in our notation.

As usual, the (conditional) expectation in the probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ will be denoted $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$.

The relation between \mathbb{E} and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ is revealed in the following theorem.

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}[Z(T)\mathbb{I}_A]$$

Theorem 2. Let $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a \mathbb{P} -martingale relative to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that $Z(t) > 0$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}[Z(0)] = 1$. Let $T > 0$ and let $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ be the probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} defined by (2). Let $t \in [0, T]$ and let X be a $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable random variable such that $Z(t)X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. Then $X \in L^1(\Omega, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and

$$\text{By (4)}, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Z(T)X]$$

$$!! \quad \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Z(t)X]. \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \quad (3)$$

Moreover, for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and for all random variables Y such that $Z(t)Y \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$, there holds

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)}\mathbb{E}[Z(t)Y|\mathcal{F}(s)]. \quad (4)$$

2 Girsanov's theorem

In this section we assume that the non-anticipating filtration of the Brownian motion coincides with $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Let $\{\theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \in C^0[\mathcal{F}_W(t)]$ satisfy the Novikov condition. It follows that the positive stochastic process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$Z(t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \theta(s)dW(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \theta^2(s)ds\right)$$

THIS PROCESS
IS A MARTINGALE
(5)
WHEN θ SATISFIES
THE NOVIKOV CONDITION

is a martingale relative to $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(0)] = 1$$

As $Z(0) = 1$, then $\mathbb{E}[Z(t)] = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus we can use the stochastic process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ to generate a measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} , namely $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}[Z(T)\mathbb{I}_A], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (6)$$

for some given $T > 0$, see Theorem 2. The relation between \mathbb{E} and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ is

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Z(t)X], \quad (7)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_W(t)$ -measurable random variables X , and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[Z(t)Y|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] \quad (8)$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and random variables Y .

We can now state and sketch the proof of **Girsanov's theorem**, which is a fundamental result with deep applications in mathematical finance.

Theorem 3. Define the stochastic process $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \theta(s) ds,$$

$$d\tilde{W}(t) = dW(t) + \theta(t) dt \quad (9)$$

$\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is not a \mathbb{P} -BM

i.e., $d\tilde{W}(t) = dW(t) + \theta(t)dt$. Then $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -Brownian motion with non-anticipating filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{W}(t)] = 0$$

Sketch of the proof. We prove only that $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -Brownian motion using the Lévy characterization of Brownian motions. Clearly, $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ starts from zero and has continuous paths a.s. Moreover we (formally) have $d\tilde{W}(t)d\tilde{W}(t) = dW(t)dW(t) = dt$. Hence it remains to show that the Brownian motion $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale relative to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. By Itô's product rule we have

$$d(\tilde{W}(t)Z(t)) = \tilde{W}(t)dZ(t) + Z(t)d\tilde{W}(t) + d\tilde{W}(t)dZ(t)$$

$$= (1 - \theta(t)\tilde{W}(t))Z(t)dW(t),$$

$$dZ(t) = -\theta(t)Z(t)dW(t)$$

that is to say,

$$\tilde{W}(t)Z(t) = \int_0^t (1 - \tilde{W}(u)\theta(u))Z(u)dW(u).$$

It follows that the stochastic process $\{Z(t)\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{P} -martingale relative to $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)\tilde{W}(t)|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] = Z(s)\tilde{W}(s).$$

But according to (8),

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)\tilde{W}(t)|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] = Z(s)\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{W}(t)|\mathcal{F}_W(s)].$$

Hence $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{W}(t)|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] = \tilde{W}(s)$, as claimed.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{W}(t)|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] &= \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[Z(t)\tilde{W}(t)|\mathcal{F}_W(s)] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(s)} Z(s) \tilde{W}(s) = \tilde{W}(s) \end{aligned}$$

WE START CHAPTER 6 (FINANCIAL APPLICATIONS)

Conventions

From now on we assume that the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and the Brownian motion $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are given. Moreover, in order to avoid the need of repeatedly specifying technical assumptions, we stipulate the following conventions:

- All stochastic processes in this chapter are assumed to belong to the space $\mathcal{C}^0[\mathcal{F}_W(t)]$, i.e., they are adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and have a.s. continuous paths. This assumption may be relaxed, but for our applications it is general enough.
- All Itô integrals in this chapter are assumed to be martingales, which holds for instance when the integrand stochastic process is in the space $L^2[\mathcal{F}_W(t)]$.

3 Arbitrage-free markets

SELF-FINANCING

ARBITRAGE:

$$(1) V(0) = 0$$

$$(2) \mathbb{P}(V(T) \geq 0) = 1$$

$$(3) \mathbb{P}(V(T) > 0) > 0$$

Consider the 1+1 dimensional market

$$dS(t) = (\mu(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)), \quad dB(t) = +B(t)r(t)dt. \quad V(t) = h_S(t)S(t) + h_B(t)B(t)$$

The ultimate purpose of this section is to prove that any self-financing portfolio $\{h_S(t), h_B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ invested in this market is not an arbitrage. To this purpose we shall use the following simple result:

Theorem 4. Let a portfolio be given with value $\{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. If there exists a measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} and a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that the discounted value of the portfolio $\{V^*(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale, then for all $T > 0$ the portfolio is not an arbitrage in the interval $[0, T]$.

$$V^*(t) = \frac{V(t)}{B(t)} = \frac{V(t)}{\int_0^t r(s)ds}$$

Proof. The assumption is that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(t)V(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] = D(s)V(s), \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

Since martingales have constant expectation, then $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(t)V(t)] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(0)V(0)] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[V(0)]$.

Assume that the portfolio is an arbitrage in some interval $[0, T]$. Then $V(0) = 0$ almost surely in both probabilities \mathbb{P} and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$; as $V^*(0) = V(0)$, then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[V^*(t)] = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

Moreover $\mathbb{P}(V(T) \geq 0) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(V(T) > 0) > 0$. Since \mathbb{P} and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ are equivalent, we also have $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(V(T) \geq 0) = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(V(T) > 0) > 0$. Since the discount process is positive, we also

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[V^*(T)] = 0$$

IF $X \geq 0$ A.S.

AND $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$

THE $X = 0$ A.S.

have $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(V^*(T) \geq 0) = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(V^*(T) > 0) > 0$. However this contradicts (10). Hence our original hypothesis that the portfolio is an arbitrage portfolio is false. \square

In view of the previous theorem, to show that self-financing portfolios invested in a 1+1 dimensional market are not arbitrage portfolios we may show that there exists a probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, equivalent to \mathbb{P} , with respect to which the discounted value of such portfolios is a martingale.

We first define such a measure. We have seen that given a stochastic process $\{\theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the Novikov condition, the stochastic process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$Z(t) = \exp \left(- \int_0^t \theta(s) dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \theta(s)^2 ds \right) \quad (11)$$

is a \mathbb{P} -martingale relative to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and that the map $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}[Z(T)\mathbb{I}_A] \quad (12)$$

is a probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} , for all given $T > 0$.

Definition 1. Consider the 1+1 dimensional market

RISK-NEUTRAL PROBABILITY

IN THE
PHYSICAL
WORLD

$$(\star) \quad dS(t) = \mu(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t), \quad dB(t) = B(t)r(t)dt.$$

Assume that $\sigma(t) > 0$ almost surely for all times. Let $\{\theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the stochastic process given by

$$\theta(t) = \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)}, \quad (13)$$

and define $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ by (11). Assume that $\{\theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the Novikov condition, so that $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale.

The probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} given by (12) is called the **risk-neutral probability measure** of the market (at time T), while the process $\{\theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called the **market price of risk**.

By the definition (13) of the stochastic process $\{\theta(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we can rewrite $dS(t)$ as

STOCK PRICE
DYNAMICS IN
THE RISK-NEUTRAL
WORLD " where

$$dS(t) = \underbrace{r(t)S(t)}_{dP(t) = \underbrace{r(t)B(t)}_{\substack{\widetilde{W}(t) = dW(t) + \theta(t)dt.}}dt + \underbrace{\sigma(t)S(t)}_{\substack{dW(t) = d\widetilde{W}(t) - \theta(t)dt \\ \text{REPLACE IN } (*)}}d\widetilde{W}(t), \quad (14)$$

By Girsanov theorem, the stochastic process $\{\widetilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -Brownian motion. Moreover, $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a non-anticipating filtration for $\{\widetilde{W}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

We also recall that a portfolio $\{h_S(t), h_B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is self-financing if its value $\{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$dV(t) = h_S(t)dS(t) + h_B(t)dB(t), \quad (16)$$

Moreover $S^*(t) = D(t)S(t)$ is the discounted price (at time $t = 0$) of the stock, where $D(t) = \exp(-\int_0^t r(s)ds)$ is the discount process.

Theorem 5. Consider the 1+1 dimensional market

$$B(t) = B(0)e^{\int_0^t r(s)ds}$$

$$dS(t) = \mu(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t), \quad dB(t) = B(t)r(t)dt, \quad (17)$$

where $\sigma(t) > 0$ almost surely for all times.

(i) The discounted stock price $\{S^*(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale in the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

(ii) A portfolio process $\{h_S(t), h_B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is self-financing if and only if its discounted value satisfies

$$V^*(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t D(s)h_S(s)\sigma(s)S(s)d\widetilde{W}(s). \quad (18)$$

In particular the discounted value of self-financing portfolios is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale in the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

(iii) If $\{h_S(t), h_B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a self-financing portfolio, then $\{h_S(t), h_B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is not an arbitrage.

Proof. (i) By (14) and $dD(t) = -D(t)r(t)dt$ we have

$$d(S(t)D(t)) =$$

$$D(t) = e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds}$$

$$dD(t) = -r(t)D(t)dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} dS^*(t) &= S(t)dD(t) + D(t)dS(t) + dD(t)dS(t) \\ &= -S(t)r(t)D(t)dt + D(t)(r(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)d\tilde{W}(t)) \\ &= D(t)\sigma(t)S(t)d\tilde{W}(t), \end{aligned}$$

$$S^*(t) = S(0) + \int_0^t D(s)r(s)S(s)ds + \int_0^t D(s)\sigma(s)S(s)d\tilde{W}(s)$$

and so the discounted price $\{S^*(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of the stock is a $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale relative to $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

(ii) By (14) and $h_S(t)S(t) + h_B(t)B(t) = V(t)$, the definition (16) of self-financing portfolio is equivalent to

$$(18) \Leftrightarrow dV^*(t) = D(t)h_S(t)\sigma(t)S(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$$

$$dV(t) = h_S(t)dS(t) + h_B(t)dB(t)$$

$$dV(t) = h_S(t)S(t)[(\mu(t) - r(t))dt + \sigma(t)dW(t)] + V(t)r(t)dt. \quad (19)$$

Hence

$$dV^*(t) = d(D(t)V(t))$$

$$dV(t) = h_S(t)S(t)\sigma(t)d\tilde{W}(t) + V(t)r(t)dt.$$

In terms of the discounted portfolio value $V^*(t) = D(t)V(t)$ the previous equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} dV^*(t) &= V(t)dD(t) + D(t)dV(t) + dD(t)dV(t) \\ &= -D(t)V(t)r(t)dt + D(t)h_S(t)S(t)\sigma(t)d\tilde{W}(t) + D(t)V(t)r(t)dt \\ &= D(t)h_S(t)S(t)\sigma(t)d\tilde{W}(t), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (18).

(iii) By (18), the discounted value of self-financing portfolios is a $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale relative to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. As $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and \mathbb{P} are equivalent, (iii) follows by Theorem 4. \square