



## Financial derivatives and PDE's Lecture 14

Simone Calogero

February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2021

### Local and Stochastic volatility models

In this lecture we present a method to compute the risk-neutral price of European derivatives when the market parameters are not deterministic functions.

We first assume that the interest rate of the money market is constant, i.e.,  $r(t) = r$ , which is quite reasonable for derivatives with short maturity such as options; stochastic interest rate models are important for pricing derivatives with long time of maturity, e.g. coupon bonds.

$$Y = g(S(\tau))$$

Assuming that the derivative is the standard European derivative with pay-off function  $g$  and maturity  $T$ , the risk-neutral price formula becomes

$$\Pi_Y(t) = e^{-r\tau} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[g(S(T)) | \mathcal{F}_W(t)], \quad \tau = T - t. \quad (1)$$

Motivated by our earlier results on the Black-Scholes price and we attempt to re-write the risk-neutral price formula in the form

$$\Pi_Y(t) = v_g(t, S(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \text{ for all } T > 0, \quad (2)$$

for some function  $v_g : \overline{D}_T^+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , which we call the pricing function of the derivative.

By (1), this is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[g(S(T)) | \mathcal{F}_W(t)] = e^{r\tau} v_g(t, S(t)) \quad (3)$$

MARKOV  
PROPERTY

OF  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  IN THE  
RISK-NEUTRAL PROBABILITY  
MEASURE  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

BLACK-SCHOLES:  $dS(t) = r S(t) dt + \sigma S(t) d\tilde{W}(t)$

IN GENERAL (WHEN  $r(t)$  IS CONSTANT)  $dS(t) = (r(t) S(t)) dt + \sigma(t) S(t) d\tilde{W}(t)$

$$S(0) = S_0 > 0 \quad \text{DETERMINISTIC CONSTANT}$$

i.e., to the property that  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a Markov process in the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , relative to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

At this point it remains to understand for which stochastic processes  $\{\sigma(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  does the generalized geometric Brownian motion satisfies this Markov property.

$\mathbb{P}$ , relative to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

At this point it remains to understand for which stochastic processes  $\{\sigma(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  does the generalized geometric Brownian motion satisfies this Markov property.

We have seen that this holds in particular when  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies a (system of) stochastic differential equation(s).

Next we discuss two examples which encompass most of the volatility models used in the applications: Local volatility models and Stochastic volatility models.

## Local volatility models

A **local volatility model** is a special case of the generalized geometric Brownian motion in which the instantaneous volatility of the stock  $\{\sigma(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is assumed to be a deterministic function of the stock price  $S(t)$ .

Given a continuous function  $\beta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , we then let

$$\sigma(t)S(t) = \beta(t, S(t)), \quad (4)$$

(and  $r(t) = r$ ) into the geometric Brownian motion, so that the stock price process  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the SDE

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + \beta(t, S(t))d\tilde{W}(t), \quad S(0) = S_0 > 0. \quad (5)$$

*Handwritten notes:  $d(x) = rx$ ,  $\beta(t, x)$  SHOULD SATISFY THE HYPOTHESIS IN THEOREM 5.1*

We assume that this SDE admits a unique global solution.

**BLACK-SCHOLES:**  $\beta(t, x) = \sigma \sqrt{x}$

In the following we shall also assume that the solution  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  of (5) is non-negative a.s. for all  $t > 0$ .

$\sigma > 0$  CONSTANT

Note however that the stochastic process solution of (5) will in general hit zero with positive probability at any finite time.

IMP!

For example, letting  $\beta(t, x) = \sqrt{x}$  the stock price (5) is a CIR process with  $b = 0$  and so, according to Theorem 4,  $S(t) = 0$  with positive probability for all  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and assume that the Kolmogorov PDE

$$\partial_t u + rx\partial_x u + \frac{1}{2}\beta(t, x)^2\partial_x^2 u = 0 \quad (t, x) \in \mathcal{D}_T^+, \quad u(T, x) = g(x) \quad (6)$$

*Handwritten notes:  $t > 0, x > 0$*

DERIVATIVE ON THE STOCK WITH

PAY-OFF  $y = g(S(T))$  AND

MATURITY  $T$ . WE WANT TO FIND  $\pi_g$  SUCH

THAT  $\pi_g(t) = \pi_g(t, S(t))$

GENERAL CIR PROCESS  
IN  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$dX(t) = a(b - X(t))dt + \sqrt{X(t)}d\tilde{W}(t) \quad (6)$$

FELDER'S CONDITION FOR  
POSITIVITY OF  $X(t) \forall t > 0$

$$1.5 \quad ab \geq \frac{c^2}{2}$$

associated to (5) admits a (necessarily unique) strong solution in the region  $\mathcal{D}_T^+$  satisfying  $u(T, x) = g(x)$ . Let also

$$v_g(t, x) = e^{-rt} u(t, x). \quad (\tau = T - t)$$

Then we have the following.

(i)  $v_g$  satisfies

$$\partial_t v_g + rx \partial_x v_g + \frac{1}{2} \beta(t, x)^2 \partial_x^2 v_g = r v_g \quad (t, x) \in \mathcal{D}_T^+, \quad (7)$$

and the terminal condition

$$v_g(T, x) = g(x). \quad (8)$$

(ii) The price of the European derivative with pay-off  $Y = g(S(T))$  and maturity  $T > 0$  is given by (2)  $\rightarrow \pi_Y(t) = \mathcal{N}_g(t, S(t))$

(iii) The portfolio given by

$$h_S(t) = \partial_x v_g(t, S(t)), \quad h_B(t) = (\pi_Y(t) - h_S(t)S(t))/B(t) \quad \leftarrow \text{REPLICATION CONDITION?}$$

is a self-financing hedging portfolio.

$$V(t) = h_S(t)S(t) + h_B(t)B(t) = \pi_Y(t)$$

Proof. (i) It is straightforward to verify that  $v_g$  satisfies (7).

(ii) Let  $X(t) = v_g(t, S(t))$ . By Itô's formula we find

$$dX(t) = (\partial_t v_g(t, S(t)) + rS(t)\partial_x v_g(t, S(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\beta(t, S(t))^2 \partial_x^2 v_g(t, S(t)))dt + \beta(t, S(t))\partial_x v_g(t, S(t))d\tilde{W}(t).$$

Hence

$$d(e^{-rt}X(t)) = e^{-rt}(\partial_t v_g + rx \partial_x v_g + \frac{1}{2}\beta(t, x)^2 \partial_x^2 v_g - rv_g)(t, S(t))dt + e^{-rt}\beta(t, S(t))\partial_x v_g(t, S(t))d\tilde{W}(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_Y(t) &= \mathcal{N}_g(t, S(t)) \\ \Rightarrow \pi_Y^*(t) &= e^{-rt} \mathcal{N}_g(t, S(t)) \times(t) \end{aligned}$$

As  $v_g(t, x)$  satisfies (7), the drift term in the right hand side of the previous equation is zero.

Hence

$$\pi_Y^*(t) = e^{-rt}v_g(t, S(t)) = \underbrace{v_g(t, S_0)}_{\pi_Y(0)} + \int_0^t \underbrace{e^{-ru}\beta(u, S(u))\partial_x v_g(u, S(u))}_{\mathcal{N}_g(u, S(u))} d\tilde{W}(u). \quad (9)$$

It follows that<sup>1</sup> the stochastic process  $\{e^{-rt}v_g(t, S(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale relative to  $\{\mathcal{F}_W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-rT}v_g(T, S(T)) | \mathcal{F}_W(t)] = e^{-rt}v_g(t, S(t)), \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

<sup>1</sup>Recall that we assume that Itô's integrals are martingales!

$$\mathcal{N}_g(T, S(T))_3 = g(S(T)) = Y$$

$$\underbrace{e^{-r(T-t)}}_{\pi_Y(t)} \mathbb{E}[g(S(T)) | \mathcal{F}_W(t)] = \mathcal{N}_g(t, S(t))$$

Using the boundary condition (8), we find

$$v_g(t, S(t)) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}[g(S(T)) | \mathcal{F}_W(t)],$$

which proves (2).

(iii) Replacing  $\Pi_Y(t) = v_g(t, S(t))$  into (9), we find

$$\Pi_Y^*(t) = \Pi_Y(0) + \int_0^t e^{-ru} \beta(u, S(u)) \partial_x v_g(u, S(u)) d\widetilde{W}(u).$$

Hence the claim on the hedging portfolio follows.

□

### Example: The CEV model

For the **constant elasticity variance (CEV)** model, we have  $\beta(t, S(t)) = \sigma S(t)^\delta$ , where  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  are constants.

The SDE for the stock price becomes

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + \sigma S(t)^\delta d\widetilde{W}(t), \quad S(0) = S_0 > 0. \quad (10)$$

For  $\delta = 1$  we recover the Black-Scholes model.

For  $\delta \neq 1$ , we can construct the solution of (10) using a CIR process, as shown in the following exercise.

$$\beta(t, x) = \beta(x) = \sigma x^\delta, \quad \sigma, \delta > 0 \text{ constants}$$

**Exercise 1.** Given  $\sigma, r$  and  $\delta \neq 1$ , define

$$a = 2r(\delta - 1), \quad c = -2\sigma(\delta - 1), \quad b = \frac{\sigma^2}{2r}(2\delta - 1), \quad \theta = -\frac{1}{2(\delta - 1)}.$$

Let  $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the CIR process

$$dX(t) = a(b - X(t)) dt + c\sqrt{X(t)}d\widetilde{W}(t), \quad X(0) = x > 0.$$

Show that  $S(t) = X(t)^\theta$  solves (10) with  $S_0 = x^\theta$ .

FELLET'S CONDITION  $b \geq \frac{c^2}{2}$

$$b = 2r(\delta - 1) \frac{\sigma^2}{2r}(2\delta - 1) \geq \frac{4\sigma^2}{2}(\delta - 1)^2$$

↑  
 $\delta > 1$

It follows by Exercise 1, and by Feller's condition  $ab \geq c^2/2$  for the positivity of the CIR process, that the solution of (10) remains strictly positive a.s. if  $\delta \geq 1$ , while for  $0 < \delta < 1$ , the stock price hits zero in finite time with positive probability.

The Kolmogorov PDE (6) associated to the CEV model is

$$\partial_t u + rx\partial_x u + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}x^{2\delta}\partial_x^2 u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathcal{D}_T^+.$$

Given a terminal value  $g$  at time  $T$  as in Theorem 1, the previous equation admits a unique solution.

However a fundamental solution, in the sense of exists only for  $\delta > 1$ , as otherwise the stochastic process  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  hits zero at any finite time with positive probability and therefore the density of the random variable  $S(t)$  has a discrete part.