

<p>Relationship between indep. and prod. meas.</p> <p>Recall. X_1, \dots, X_n rvs on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) are indep. if $\forall B_1, \dots, B_n$ Borel sets</p> $P(w: X_1(w) \in B_1, X_2(w) \in B_2, \dots, X_n(w) \in B_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i(w) \in B_i)$ $\text{def } M = \prod_{i=1}^n P(w: X_i(w) \in B_i) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{F}, P)$	<p>Example X_1, \dots, X_n are indep iff $M_{X_1, \dots, X_n} = M_{X_1} \times M_{X_2} \times \dots \times M_{X_n}$</p> <hr/> $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n = \prod_{i=1}^n M(X_i) \text{ In order to keep things simple assume } M(X_i) = 1$
<p>Recall The Law of a RV X is the prob. meas on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ $M_X(B) := P(X^{-1}(B))$</p> <p>new. def: The joint Law or dist. of rvs. X_1, \dots, X_n defined on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is a pm on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}^n)$, M_{X_1, \dots, X_n}</p> $M_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(B) := P(w: (X_1(w), \dots, X_n(w)) \in B) \quad B \in \mathcal{B}^n$	<p>Inf. product meas of leg meas on $[\alpha, \beta]$</p> $([\alpha, \beta]^n, \mathcal{B}^n, \underbrace{m \times \dots \times m}_n)$. well known. move to inf. prod

$\mathbb{X} = [0,1]^N$ N pos. integers
 $= \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) : a_i \in [0,1] \forall i\}$
 Let \mathcal{B}^∞ be the smallest σ -alg containing sets of the form

$$\overline{B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \dots} \\ \text{such that } B_i \in \mathcal{B}_i = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) : a_1 \in B_1, a_2 \in B_2, \dots, a_n \in B_n\}$$

Let m be a measure on $[0,1]$.
 Thm: \exists a pm m^∞ on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}^\infty)$
 s.t. $\forall n \quad \forall B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}_i$,
 $m^\infty(B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \dots) = m(B_1)m(B_2) \dots m(B_n)$.

Remarks:

- (1) one can do measurable products.
- (2) versions of this for "non product sigma-algebras".
- Kolmogorov extension theorem.
- (3) can be technical;
need some topological assumptions in general.

Enter part

Thm. on $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n ([0,1])^{\infty}, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes n}, m^{\otimes n}$

$\exists \Phi \in \mathbb{X}_1 \times \mathbb{X}_2 \dots$ s.t. $m^{\otimes n}$

Law of \mathbb{X}_i is m & \mathbb{X}_i 's are indep.

Pf. Let $\mathbb{X}_i: [0,1]^N \rightarrow [0,1]$ be

$$\mathbb{X}_i(a_1, a_2, \dots) = a_i \text{ "proj. on } i^{\text{th}} \text{ coord."}$$

(1) \mathbb{X}_i is R.V. r.v. $\Rightarrow \mathbb{X}_i(a_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{B}$

$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_1, \mathbb{X}_i^{-1}(B) = \{(a_1, \dots) : \mathbb{X}_i(a_1, \dots) \in B\}$

 $= \{(a_1, \dots) : a_i \in B\}$
 $= [0,1] \times [0,1] \dots \times \underset{i-1}{[0,1]} \times \underset{i}{B} \times \underset{i+1}{[0,1]} \dots$
 $\in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes n}$.

(2) Law of $\mathbb{X}_i \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_1$

 $M_{\mathbb{X}_i}(B) = P(\mathbb{X}_i^{-1}(B)) =$
 $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n [0,1] \times \dots \times [0,1] \quad B \times [0,1] \dots$
 $= m(B)$

(3) Indep. $N + S$

 $M_{\mathbb{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{X}_n} = M_{\mathbb{X}_1} \times \dots \times M_{\mathbb{X}_n}$

LHS $M_{\mathbb{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{X}_n}(B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n) = M_{\mathbb{X}_1} \times \dots \times M_{\mathbb{X}_n}(B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n)$

 $RHS = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{\mathbb{X}_i}(B_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n m(B_i)$
 $LHS = m^{\otimes n}((a_1, \dots) : \mathbb{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{X}_n(a_1, \dots) \in B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n)$
 $= m^{\otimes n}(B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \dots)$
 $= \prod_{i=1}^n m(B_i)$. \square

Can construct an inf. # of uniform RVs

e.g. what if we want to construct
indep. RVs X_1, \dots, X_n $P(X_i) = \frac{1}{n}$

Take our $\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \dots$

$$Y_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \bar{X}_1 \in [0, Y_1] \\ 0 & \bar{X}_1 \in [Y_1, 1] \end{cases}$$

Exercise. Show Y_1, \dots are RVs, indep and their Law

$$\frac{\delta_1 + \delta_0}{2} \xrightarrow{\text{---}} \frac{Y_1}{0} \quad \frac{Y_2}{1}$$

If Y_1, \dots, Y_n are iid, $\exists f: (\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

s.t. $Y_1 = f(\bar{X}_1)$ works.

Lying. $f(x) \neq F_Y^{-1}(x)$

Construct μ^∞ on $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^N, \mathcal{Q}^\infty)$ | L.2 \mathcal{Q} is not a σ -alg.
by applying prev. theorem.

Let $\mathbb{X} = [0, 1]^N$. Let \mathcal{F}_n be the σ -alg generated by sets of the form $\{B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \dots\}$

$B_i \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_3 \dots$$

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n.$$

L1. \mathcal{Q} is an alg.

Pf. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ somen. n .
 $B \in \mathcal{F}_m \Rightarrow n = \max\{n, m\}$ comp. exercise
 $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_N \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}_N \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

Let $A_n = [0, \frac{1}{n}] \times [0, \frac{1}{n}] \times \dots \times [0, \frac{1}{n}] \times [0, 1] \dots$
 $A_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.
 $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1]$ - - -
 $\not\in \mathcal{Q}$ since not in \mathcal{F}_n for any n .

Define a p.m. on \mathcal{Q}
 $\mu_0^\infty (B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \dots)$

$$:= \prod_{i=1}^n m(B_i).$$

Just as for product m.s.,
this extends to a p.m. on \mathcal{F}_n .
basically mid-in measure

Claim: M_0^∞ is a premeasure on \mathcal{Q}

If true, then M_0^∞ extends to a p.m. M^∞ on $\sigma(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{B}^+$.

must prove claim: $M_0^\infty(\emptyset) = 0$. ✓

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\forall A_i \in \mathcal{Q}$,
we NTS $M_0^\infty(\bigcup A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_0^\infty(A_i)$.

Remark: \geq easy

$$M_0^\infty(\bigcup A_i) \geq M_0^\infty(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n M_0^\infty(A_i) + t_n$$

Claim*: $M_0^\infty(\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j \text{ tr } \dots$$

$$\boxed{0 \dots 0 \dots A_n}$$

$$T_n = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j$$

Note $T_1 \supseteq T_2 \supseteq T_3 \dots$ and not

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n = \emptyset \quad \text{Exercise}$$

$$\Rightarrow M_0^\infty(T_n) \rightarrow 0$$

const from above
cont be used

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Claim} \Rightarrow \text{prove by induction} \\
 M_0^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) &= M_0^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \right) + M_0^{\infty}(T_n) \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n M_0^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_{ij} \right)}_{\text{f.a.}} + M_0^{\infty}(T_n) \\
 &\quad \downarrow \\
 &\quad \text{L.R. } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \downarrow \\
 &\quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

claim $T_1 \supseteq T_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq T_n$
 $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n = \emptyset \Rightarrow M_0^{\infty}(T_n) \rightarrow 0$.
 Recall compactness, in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$
 B_1, B_2, \dots closed sets. $B_i \neq \emptyset$.
 $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \dots \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \neq \emptyset$
 $(x_1, x_2, \dots) = B_n = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \dots)$. shows need closure
 also true in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

~~True?~~. Assume $M_0(T_n) \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 > 0$.

Note $T_n \neq \emptyset$, $T_1 \supseteq T_2 \supseteq \dots$ $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n = \emptyset$.

could not happen if T_n is compact.

Idea: Estimate T_n from inside by a closed set C_n .

Find $C_n \subseteq T_n$ closed s.t.

$$\underline{M_0}(T_n \setminus C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2^n}$$

$$\text{Let } B_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i, \quad \underline{B_n} \subseteq C_n \subseteq \overline{T_n} \quad \star$$

Key pt. $B_n \neq \emptyset$ $\times \star$

$B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \dots$

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \neq \emptyset$
compactness

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \neq \emptyset \quad \square$

* show $M_0(B_n) > 0 \forall n$.

$$M_0(T_n \setminus B_n) = M_0(T_n \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i)$$

$$\leq M_0\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (T_n \setminus C_i)\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n M_0(T_n \setminus C_i)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2^i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$$

$$\text{But } M_0(T_n) \geq \varepsilon_0 \Rightarrow \underline{M_0}(B_n) > 0$$

\square