Read your peers’ outline and answer the following questions. The overall goal with the peer review is to help your peers create the strongest possible outline. So, please also tell your peers about any places at which:

* You do not quite understand what is said. This may indicate areas that need further clarification.
* You see very clear ideas/statements. This likely indicates areas that are strong and do not need to be revised.

Questions about your peers’ outline

1. Does the goal/aim of the report, or the thesis statement, clearly convey the main idea of the report?
   * If not, what advice do you have to help the main idea be clearer?
2. Does the projected list of section headings seem to make logical sense to you?
   * If not, explain as best you can what seems confusing.
3. Do you notice anything missing in the bullet points of what each section is expected to say?
   * If so, what?
4. Have likely locations of figures/tables been indicated?
   * If so, do they seem to work well, or should they be moved?
   * If not, please indicate where you would expect figures/tables, and indicate what you think they would contain.
5. Are the anticipated references of good academic quality?
   * If not, please note which ones could be replaced with references of higher academic quality.
6. Does the plan of which group member is responsible for what task contain all requested information? The requested information is listed below.
   * Who will write which sections of the report?
   * What topics/subtopics will be researched, and who is responsible for finding literature on each?
   * Who will proofread what sections of the report?
   * Who will edit the report for cohesion/coherence?
   * Who will read the report for unity of language and style?
   * What other tasks delegated amongst the writing group members?
7. Does the workload seem fairly distributed amongst group members? Why or why not?