## Exam for the course "Options and Mathematics" (CTH[*MVE095*], GU[*MMG810*]) 2021/22

For questions call the examiner at +46 (0)31 772 35 62

April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2022 (8.30-12.30)

REMARKS: (1) NO aids permitted (2) Write as clear as possible: if some step is not clearly readable it will be assumed to be wrong.

## Part I

- 1. Let V(t) be the value at time t of a self-financing portfolio process in a N-period binomial market. Derive the recurrence formula expressing V(t) in terms of V(t + 1) (max 3 points). Derive the formula expressing V(t) in terms of V(N) (max 3 points).
- 2. Give and explain the definition of risk-neutral price of Zero Coupon Bonds (max 3 points).
- 3. Decide whether the following statements are true or false in an arbitrage free market and explain your answer (max 3 points):
  - (a) All risk-free assets have the same interest rate.
  - (b) Zero Coupon bonds may have a negative yield in the interval [0, T] even if the risk-free rate at t = 0 is positive.
  - (c) Investors cannot know at time t = 0 if an investment yields a positive return in the interval [0, T].

**Solution:** (a) True, otherwise an arbitrage opportunity would arise by taking a long position on the risk-free asset with the higher interest rate and a short position, for the same value, on the risk-free asset with the lowest interest rate. (b) True; the ZCB fixes the interest rate to borrow in the interval [0, T]. Even if the risk-free rate is positive at t = 0 there is no guarantee that will remain so in the whole interval [0, T]. (c) True, e.g., a ZCB with positive yield to maturity (which is known at t = 0).

## Part II

1. An investor wants to set-up a constant portfolio on European stock options in the interval [0,T] such that the pay-off V(T) of the portfolio is one if the stock price lies in the intervals [1,2] or [3,4] and is zero otherwise. Give an example for such portfolio (max 3 points). Compute also the Black-Scholes value of this portfolio at time zero, expressed in terms of the standard normal distribution (max 3 points).

**Solution:** Letting S(T) be the price of the stock at time T, the pay-off of the portfolio can be written as

$$V(T) = H(S(T) - 1) - H(S(T) - 2) + H(S(T) - 3) - H(S(T) - 4),$$

where H is the Heaviside function. Hence the sought portfolio is replicated by a long position on one share of the cash settled digital options with strikes 1 and 3 and a short position on one share of the same option with strikes 2 and 4, in each case the notional value being equal to 1. Letting v(x, K) be the Black-Scholes pricing function at t = 0 of the cash-settled digital option with strike K, maturity T and notional value one, we then have

$$V(0) = v(S(0), 1) - v(S(0), 2) + v(S(0), 3) - v(S(0), 4)$$

We compute v(x, K) with the Black-Scholes formula:

$$\begin{split} v(x,K) &= \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})T} e^{\sigma\sqrt{T}y} - K) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \, dy \\ &= \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-d_{(-)}(x,K)}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \, dy, \end{split}$$

where  $d_{(-)}(x,K) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} [\log(x/K) + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T]$ . With the change of variable  $y \to -y$  we obtain

$$v(x,K) = e^{-rT} \Phi(d_{(-)}(x,K)).$$

Hence

$$V(0) = e^{-rT} \left[ \Phi(d_{(-)}(S(0), 1)) - \Phi(d_{(-)}(S(0), 2)) + \Phi(d_{(-)}(S(0), 3)) - \Phi(d_{(-)}(S(0), 4)) \right]$$

2. Consider a 3-period binomial market with risk-neutral probability q = 1/2, risk-free rate r = 0 and physical probability  $p \in (0, 1)$ . A self-financing portfolio in this market has the following values at t = 3:

$$V(3, u, u, u) = -4$$
,  $V(3, u, u, d) = 0$ ,  $V(3, u, d, d) = 12$ ,  $V(3, d, d, d) = -8$ .

Compute the portfolio value V(t) for all t = 0, 1, 2 (max 2 points). Study how the expected return of the portfolio depends on p; in particular show that there are two values  $p_1, p_2$  of the physical probability such that the expected return of the portfolio in the interval [0,3] is zero (max 3 points). In which of the two cases is the portfolio risk lower? (justify your answer without doing any calculation) (max 1 point)

**Solution:** Using the recurrence formula (with r = 0):

$$V(t) = qV^{u}(t+1) + (1-q)V^{d}(t+1)$$

we find easily

$$V(2, u, u) = -2, V(2, u, d) = V(2, d, u) = 6, V(2, d, d) = 2, V(1, u) = 2, V(1, d) = 4, V(0) = 3$$

Hence the return in the interval [0,3] is the random variable

$$R = \begin{cases} -7 & \text{with prob. } p^{3} \\ -3 & \text{with prob. } 3p^{2}(1-p) \\ 9 & \text{with prob. } 3p(1-p)^{2} \\ -11 & \text{with prob. } (1-p)^{3} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[R] = 4(10p^3 - 24p^2 + 15p) - 11 = f(p).$$

Notice that f(1/2) = 0. Moreover

$$f'(p) = 12(10p^2 - 16p + 5) \Rightarrow f'(1/2) = -6$$

hence f(p) > 0 for p smaller but close to 1/2. As f(0) = -11 and f(1) = -7, then there exists  $p_1 \in (0, 1/2)$  such that f(p) < 0 for  $p \in (0, p_1)$  and  $p \in (1/2, 1)$ , f(p) > 0 for  $p \in (p_1, 1/2)$  and f(p) = 0 for  $p = p_1$  and p = 1/2. In particular, the expected return as a (positive) maximum at some  $p_* \in (p_1, 1/2)$ . The portfolio risk is measured by Var(R), i.e., by the "randomness" of the return. Now, for  $p = p_1$  the portfolio value has a tendency to move down and since the variations of the portfolio return are larger on these paths, then the risk of the portfolio is higher for  $p = p_1$  than for p = 1/2, even though in both cases the expected return is zero.

3. Let K > 0, T > 0 and  $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T\}$  be a uniform partition of the interval [0,T] with size  $h = t_i - t_{i-1}$ . Assume r = 0 and let  $t \in [0,T)$  be the present time. Compute the Black-Scholes price  $\Pi_Y(t)$  of the European derivative with maturity T and pay-off

$$Y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} S(t_i)h\right) - K$$

as well as the value of K such that this contract is cost-free at time t = 0 (max 6 points).

**Solution:** The answer depends on which interval of the partition lies the present time t. Assume  $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j)$ , where  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  is given. Then all stock prices up to time  $t_{j-1}$  are known, while the prices  $t_j, \ldots, t_n = T$  are stochastic. Hence if we write the pay-off as

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} S(t_i)h + \sum_{i=j}^{n} S(t_i)h - K$$

we have

$$\Pi_Y(t) = \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \sum_{i=j}^n S(t_i)h \right] - K + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} S(t_i)h = h \sum_{i=j}^n \mathbb{E}_q[S(t_i)] - K + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} S(t_i)h$$

As the discounted stock price is a martingale in the risk-neutral probability and r = 0, then  $\mathbb{E}[S(t_i)] = S(t)$  (the stock price has constant expectation), hence

$$\Pi_Y(t) = S(t)h(n-j+1) - K + h\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} S(t_i) = S(t)(T-t_{j-1}) - K + h\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} S(t_i)$$

In particular for t = 0 we set j = 1 and thus

$$\Pi_Y(0) = S(0)T - K$$

Hence  $\Pi_Y(0) = 0$  if and only if K = S(0)T.