

Chapter 5: FEM for BVP in 1d (summary)

January 31, 2022

Goal: Present and analyse FEM for several classical BVPs.

- Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -(a(x)u'(x))' = f(x) & \text{for } x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the given functions f, a are nice (for instance f is continuous or in $L^2(0, 1)$, $a(x) \geq \alpha_0 > 0$ continuous or piecewise continuous on $(0, 1)$ and bounded on $[0, 1]$).

The above BVP has the following variational formulation (VF)

$$\text{Find } u \in H_0^1 \text{ such that } \int_0^1 a(x)u'(x)v'(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x) dx \text{ for all } v \in H_0^1.$$

The corresponding FE problem (FE) reads

$$\text{Find } u_h \in V_h^0 \text{ such that } \int_0^1 a(x)u_h'(x)v_h'(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v_h(x) dx \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0.$$

Recall that the above is called a **cG(1) FEM**, for continuous Galerkin (using linear approximation).

Observing that $V_h^0 \subset H_0^1$, one gets **Galerkin orthogonality condition** (GO)

$$\int_0^1 a(x)(u'(x) - u_h'(x))v_h'(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0$$

which says that the error of the FE approximation is orthogonal to V_h^0 in the energy inner product that we now define.

- For $f, g \in H_0^1$ and a as above, one defines

the **weighted L_a^2 inner product**

$$(f, g)_a = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)a(x) dx$$

the **energy inner product**

$$(f, g)_E = (f', g')_a$$

and the corresponding **norms**

$$\|f\|_a = \sqrt{(f, f)_a} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_E = \sqrt{(f, f)_E}.$$

Observe that the definition of the energy norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is problem dependent.

- A priori error estimate for cG(1):** Let u, u_h be the solutions to (VF), resp. (FE). Assume $u'' \in L_a^2(0, 1)$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u - u_h\|_E \leq C \|hu''\|_a,$$

where we recall that $h = h(x)$ is the mesh function of the FE approximation.

- **A posteriori error estimate for cG(1)**: Under technical assumptions on u and u_h , one has the following error estimate

$$\|u - u_h\|_E \leq C \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{a(x)} h^2(x) R^2(u_h(x)) dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where R denotes the residual $R(u_h) = f(x) + (a(x)u'(x))'$ of the FE approximation to the BVP.

- The concept of **adaptivity** uses the above a posteriori error estimates to locally refine or modify the mesh in order to obtain a better numerical approximation u_h .
- Let us now derive a FE approximation for the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) + 4u(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = \alpha & \text{and } u(1) = \beta, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ are given real numbers. Such boundary conditions are called **non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions**.

The derivation of a numerical approximation for solutions to the above problem is given by

1. Define the **trial space** $V = \{v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \in H^1(0, 1), v(0) = \alpha, v(1) = \beta\}$ and the **test space** $V^0 = \{v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \in H^1(0, 1), v(0) = v(1) = 0\}$. Multiply the DE with a test function $v \in V^0$, integrate over the domain $[0, 1]$ and get the VF

$$\text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that } \int_0^1 u'(x) v'(x) dx + 4 \int_0^1 u(x) v(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in V^0.$$

2. Next, define the finite dimensional spaces

$V_h = \{v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \text{ is cont. pw. linear on } T_h \text{ and } v(0) = \alpha, v(1) = \beta\}$ and

$V_h^0 = \{v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \text{ is cont. pw. linear on } T_h, v(0) = v(1) = 0\}$, where as before T_h is a uniform partition with mesh $h = \frac{1}{m+1}$. Observe that $V_h = \text{span}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}) \subset V$ and $V_h^0 = \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) \subset V^0$ with the hat functions φ_j .

The FE problem then reads

$$\text{Find } u_h \in V_h \text{ such that } \int_0^1 u_h'(x) v_h'(x) dx + 4 \int_0^1 u_h(x) v_h(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0.$$

3. Choosing $v_h = \varphi_i$, writing $u_h(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \zeta_j \varphi_j(x)$ with $\zeta_0 = \alpha$ and $\zeta_{m+1} = \beta$ (due to the non-homogeneous Dirichlet BC), and inserting everything into the FE problem gives the following linear system of equations

$$(S + 4M)\zeta = b,$$

where the $m \times m$ **stiffness matrix** S has entries $s_{ij} = \int_0^1 \varphi_i'(x) \varphi_j'(x) dx$, the $m \times m$ **mass matrix**

M has entries $m_{ij} = \int_0^1 \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(x) dx$, and the $m \times 1$ **vector** b has entries $b_i = -\alpha(\varphi_0', \varphi_i')_{L^2} - \beta(\varphi_{m+1}', \varphi_i')_{L^2} - 4\alpha(\varphi_0, \varphi_i)_{L^2} - 4\beta(\varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_i')_{L^2}$. Detailed formulas for these entries can be found in the book. Solving this system gives the vector ζ and in turn the numerical approximation u_h .

- Let us finally consider the problem of finding a numerical approximation of solutions to the BVP

$$\begin{cases} -au''(x) + bu'(x) = r & \text{for } x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u'(1) = \beta, \end{cases}$$

where $\beta \neq 0$, $a, b > 0$, and r are given real numbers. One has a **homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions** for $x = 0$ and **non-homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions** for $x = 1$.

For ease of presentation we take $a = b = r = 1$ and derive a FE approximation as follows

1. Define the space $V = \{v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \in H^1(0, 1), v(0) = 0\}$. Multiply the DE with a test function $v \in V$, integrate over the domain $[0, 1]$ and get the VF

$$\text{Find } u \in V \text{ such that } (u', v')_{L^2} + (u', v)_{L^2} = \int_0^1 v(x) dx + \beta v(1) \quad \forall v \in V.$$

2. Next, define the finite dimensional space $V_h = \{v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \text{ is cont. pw. linear on } T_h, v(0) = 0\}$, where as before T_h is a uniform partition with mesh $h = \frac{1}{m+1}$.

Observe that $V_h = \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}) \subset V$, with the hat functions φ_j .

The FE problem then reads

$$\text{Find } u_h \in V_h \text{ such that } (u'_h, v'_h)_{L^2} + (u'_h, v_h)_{L^2} = \int_0^1 v_h(x) dx + \beta v_h(1) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

3. Choosing $v_h = \varphi_i$, writing $u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \zeta_j \varphi_j(x)$, observing that φ_{m+1} is a half hat function, and inserting everything into the FE problem gives the following linear system of equations

$$(S + C)\zeta = b,$$

where the $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ **stiffness matrix** S has entries $s_{ij} = \int_0^1 \varphi'_i(x) \varphi'_j(x) dx$, the $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ **convection matrix** C has entries $c_{ij} = \int_0^1 \varphi'_j(x) \varphi_i(x) dx$, and the $(m+1) \times 1$ **vector** b has entries $b_i = \int_0^1 \varphi_i(x) dx + \beta \varphi_i(1)$. Detailed formulas for these entries can be found in the book. Solving this system gives the vector ζ and in turn the numerical approximation u_h .

- For indication, and for a uniform partition of $[0, 1]$ denoted by T_h : $x_0 = 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m < x_{m+1} = 1$ with element length/mesh denoted by h , we summarise the possible choices for the FE spaces:

1. Dirichlet BC $u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0$: test and trial spaces given by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$.
2. Dirichlet BC $u(0) = \alpha \neq 0, u(1) = 0$: trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$.
3. Dirichlet BC $u(0) = 0, u(1) = \beta \neq 0$: trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1})$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$.
4. Dirichlet BC $u(0) = \alpha \neq 0, u(1) = \beta \neq 0$: trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$.
5. Dirichlet/Neumann BC $u(0) = 0, u'(1) = \beta$ (zero or not): trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$.
6. Neumann/Dirichlet BC $u'(0) = \alpha$ (zero or not), $u(1) = 0$: trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_m)$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_m)$.

7. Dirichlet/Neumann BC $u(0) = \alpha \neq 0, u'(1) = \beta$ (zero or not): trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$.
8. Neumann/Dirichlet BC $u'(0) = \alpha$ (zero or not), $u(1) = \beta \neq 0$: trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_m)$.
9. Neumann BC $u'(0) = \alpha, u'(1) = \beta$ (zero or not): trial given by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$ and test by $\text{span}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{m+1})$.

Further resources:

- [Galerkin method at wikipedia.org](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galerkin_method)
- [Error estimation at csc.kth-se](https://www.csc.kth.se/)
- [Adaptivity at csc.kth-se](https://www.csc.kth.se/)