Examiner: J. Björnberg

The actual exam will consist of 6–8 questions for a total maximum of 50 points. Any bonus points are added on top, and grading is as follows. CTH: 20, 30, 40 points for 3, 4, 5 respectively. GU: 20, 35 points for G, VG respectively. PhD-students: 20 points for pass.

No tools allowed (pen is OK).

## Good luck!

- 1. This is Exercise 7.3.3 in Grimmett–Stirzaker
- 2. (a) See p. 418 of Grimmett–Stirzaker
  - (b) See Lemma 10.2.9 of Grimmett–Stirzaker
  - (c) See p. 418 of Grimmett-Stirzaker
  - (d) For example  $T = \max\{k \ge 1 : X_1, \dots, X_k < \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[X_1]\}$
- 3. (a) We have that

$$J_n = X_1 + \min\{0, X_2, X_2 + X_3, \dots, X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_n\} = X_1 + \min\{0, J'_{n-1}\}$$

where  $J'_{n-1}$  has the same distribution as  $J_{n-1}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{E}[J_n] = \mu + \mathbb{E}[\min\{0, J'_{n-1}\}] = \mu + \mathbb{E}[\min\{0, J_{n-1}\}].$ 

(b) Using min $\{0, J_{n-1}\} - J_{n-1} = -\max\{0, J_{n-1}\} = J_{n-1}^+$  we get from (a) that  $\mathbb{E}[J_n - J_{n-1}] = \mu - \mathbb{E}[J_{n-1}^+]$ . Summing these from 2 to n+2 gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[J_k^+] = n\mu + \mathbb{E}[J_1 - J_{n+1}] \ge n\mu, \quad \text{for } n \ge 2$$

where we used that  $J_1 \ge J_2 \ge \cdots \ge J_{n+1}$ . Then for any  $m \ge 2$ ,

$$\mu \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[J_k^+] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[J_k^+] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m}^{n} \mathbb{E}[J_k^+] \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[J_k^+] + \frac{n-m+1}{n} \mathbb{E}[J_m^+].$$

where we used that  $J_1^+ \ge J_2^+ \ge \cdots \ge J_{n+1}^+$ . Letting  $n \to \infty$  gives  $\mathbb{E}[J_m^+] \ge \mu$  for any  $m \ge 2$ .

- (c) We have that  $J_n \to \inf_{n\geq 1} S_n =: J_{\infty}$  almost surely and the limit is decreasing. Then  $\mathbb{E}[J_{\infty}^+] \geq \mu > 0$  by the previous part and monotone convergence. But then  $\mathbb{P}(J_{\infty} > 0) > 0$  which implies  $\mathbb{P}(J_{\infty} > -\infty) > 0$ . The event  $\{J_{\infty} > -\infty\}$  is shift-invariant so then  $\mathbb{P}(J_{\infty} > -\infty) = 1$ .
- (d) We get that  $\mathbb{P}(\liminf_{n\to\infty} S_n/n \ge 0) = 1$  for any  $X_n$  as in the statement. Applying this to  $\tilde{X}_n = X_n c$ , where  $c < \mu$ , gives  $\mathbb{P}(\liminf_{n\to\infty} S_n/n \ge c) = 1$  and then  $\mathbb{P}(\liminf_{n\to\infty} S_n/n \ge \mu) = 1$ . Applying it then to  $-X_n$  gives the reverse inequality  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n\to\infty} S_n/n \le \mu) = 1$  also.
- 4. (a) The renewal equation for  $t \in [0, 1]$  is

$$m(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t m(t-u) \, dF(u) = t + \int_0^t m(u) \, du$$

where we used a change of variables. Then m'(t) = 1 + m(t) with m(0) = 0 which gives  $m(t) = e^t - 1$  as claimed.

(b) We have  $N(t) = \mathbb{1}\{X_1 \le t\}(1 + \tilde{N}(t - X_1))$  where  $\tilde{N}(t)$  is a copy of N(t) independent of  $X_1$ . Then

$$N(t)^{2} = \mathbb{I}\{X_{1} \le t\}(1 + 2\tilde{N}(t - X_{1}) + \tilde{N}(t - X_{1})^{2}).$$

which gives (below we always assume  $t \in [0, 1]$ )

$$m_2(t) := \mathbb{E}[N(t)^2] = H(t) + \int_0^t m_2(t-u) \, dF(u)$$

where

$$H(t) = F(t) + 2\int_0^t m(t-u) \, dF(u) = 2m(t) - F(t).$$

Using Thm 10.1.11 this gives

$$m_2(t) = H(t) + \int_0^t H(t-u) \, dm(u) = 1 - e^t + 2te^t.$$

Here we used that  $dm(u) = m'(u)du = e^u du$  and performed the integral. Then

$$Var(N(t)) = m_2(t) - m(t)^2 = 2te^t - e^{2t} + e^t.$$

- 5. (a) See the book.
  - (b) By induction Z<sub>n-1</sub> is F<sub>n-2</sub>-measurable, and the other terms E[X<sub>n</sub> | F<sub>n-1</sub>] and X<sub>n-1</sub> are F<sub>n-1</sub>-measurable, so Z<sub>n</sub> is F<sub>n-1</sub>-measurable as claimed. We have Z<sub>n</sub> ≥ Z<sub>n-1</sub> since X<sub>n</sub> is a submartingale. Further, Z<sub>n</sub> is integrable for each n by induction. Defining M<sub>n</sub> = X<sub>n</sub> Z<sub>n</sub> we readily see that M<sub>n</sub> is a martingale, and X<sub>n</sub> = M<sub>n</sub> + Z<sub>n</sub> is the required decomposition.
  - (c) By the conditional Jensen inequality:  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \ge \mathbb{E}[Y_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]^2 = Y_{n-1}^2$ . It readily follows that  $(X_n)_{n\ge 0}$  is a submartingale. We have that

$$Z_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \mathbb{E}[Y_m^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] - Y_{m-1}^2 \right) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_m^2 - Y_{m-1}^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}].$$

Note that  $Y_m Y_{m-1}$  is integrable (by Cauchy–Schwarz) and  $\mathbb{E}[Y_m Y_{m-1} | \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] = Y_{m-1}\mathbb{E}[Y_m | \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] = Y_{m-1}^2$  so that

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y_m - Y_{m-1})^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_m^2 + Y_{m-1}^2 - 2Y_m Y_{m-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_m^2 - Y_{m-1}^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-1}].$$

The claim follows.

(d) The a.s. limit  $Z_{\infty}$  exists since  $Z_n \geq Z_{n-1}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Next, we use Doob's  $L^r$ inequality: if r > 1 and  $X_n$  is a non-negative submartingale such that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n^r] < \infty$ for all  $n \geq 0$  then  $\mathbb{E}[\max_{0 \leq m \leq n} X_m^r] \leq \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^r \mathbb{E}[X_n^r]$ . We can use this with r = 2 and  $X_n = |Y_n|$  which is a submartingale by the same argument as for  $Y_n^2$ . We get that  $\mathbb{E}[\max_{0 \leq m \leq n} Y_m^2] \leq 4\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2]$ . Next,  $Y_n^2 = M_n + Z_n$  as above, where  $M_n$  is a martingale
satisfying  $M_0 = 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z_n]$ . Also,  $Z_n$  is non-negative and increasing so
using the monotone convergence theorem:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{n\geq 0}Y_n^2\right] = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0\leq m\leq n}Y_m^2\right] \leq 4\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = 4\mathbb{E}[Z_\infty].$$