

Lecture  
Computability  
(DIT313, DAT415)

Nils Anders Danielsson

2022-11-14

# Today

X, a small functional language:

- ▶ Concrete and abstract syntax.
- ▶ Operational semantics.
- ▶ Several variants of the halting problem.
- ▶ Representing inductively defined sets.

# Concrete and abstract syntax

Rough definitions (in the context of programming languages):

- ▶ Concrete syntax: The well-formed strings of a programming language.
- ▶ Abstract syntax: The essential information of a program text, ignoring details of notation.

# Concrete syntax

# Concrete syntax

$$\begin{array}{l} e ::= x \\ | (e_1 e_2) \\ | \lambda x. e \\ | C(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ | \mathbf{case} e \mathbf{of} \{ C_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow e_1; \dots \} \\ | \mathbf{rec} x = e \end{array}$$

Variables ( $x$ ) and constructors ( $C$ ) are assumed to come from two disjoint, countably infinite sets.

Sometimes extra parentheses are used, and sometimes parentheses are omitted around applications:  $e_1 e_2 e_3$  means  $((e_1 e_2) e_3)$ .

# Examples

X	Haskell
$\lambda x. e$	<code>\x -&gt; e</code>
<code>True()</code>	<code>True</code>
<code>Suc(n)</code>	<code>Suc n</code>
<code>Cons(x, xs)</code>	<code>x : xs</code>
<code>rec x = e</code>	<code>let x = e in x</code>

Note: Haskell is typed and non-strict,  $\lambda$  is untyped and strict.

# Another example

X:

**case**  $e$  **of** { **Zero**()  $\rightarrow x$ ; **Suc**( $n$ )  $\rightarrow y$  }

Haskell:

```
case e of
  Zero  -> x
  Suc n -> y
```

# And two more

**rec**  $add = \lambda m. \lambda n. \mathbf{case\ } n \mathbf{ of}$   
  { **Zero**()  $\rightarrow m$   
  ; **Suc**( $n$ )  $\rightarrow \mathbf{Suc}(add\ m\ n)$   
  }

$\lambda m. \mathbf{rec\ } add = \lambda n. \mathbf{case\ } n \mathbf{ of}$   
  { **Zero**()  $\rightarrow m$   
  ; **Suc**( $n$ )  $\rightarrow \mathbf{Suc}(add\ n)$   
  }



What is the value of the following expression?

```
(rec foo = λ m. λ n. case n of {  
  Zero() → m;  
  Suc(n) → case m of {  
    Zero() → Zero();  
    Suc(m) → foo m n}})  
Suc(Suc(Zero())) Suc(Zero())
```

1. Zero()
2. Suc(Zero())
3. Suc(Suc(Zero()))
4. Suc(Suc(Suc(Zero())))

Respond at <https://pingo.coactum.de/921051>.

# Abstract syntax

# Abstract syntax

$$\frac{x \in Var}{\text{var } x \in Exp}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \in Exp \quad e_2 \in Exp}{\text{apply } e_1 e_2 \in Exp}$$

$$\frac{x \in Var \quad e \in Exp}{\text{lambda } x e \in Exp}$$

$$\frac{x \in Var \quad e \in Exp}{\text{rec } x e \in Exp}$$

*Var*: Assumed to be countably infinite.

# Abstract syntax

$$\frac{c \in \text{Const} \quad es \in \text{List Exp}}{\text{const } c \text{ } es \in \text{Exp}}$$

$$\frac{e \in \text{Exp} \quad bs \in \text{List Br}}{\text{case } e \text{ } bs \in \text{Exp}}$$

$$\frac{c \in \text{Const} \quad xs \in \text{List Var} \quad e \in \text{Exp}}{\text{branch } c \text{ } xs \text{ } e \in \text{Br}}$$

*Const*: Assumed to be countably infinite.

# Examples

---

Concrete syntax	Abstract syntax
True()	const <u>True</u> nil
Suc( $n$ )	const <u>Suc</u> (cons (var <u><math>n</math></u> ) nil)
Cons( $x$ , $xs$ )	const <u>Cons</u> (cons (var <u><math>x</math></u> ) (cons (var <u><math>xs</math></u> ) nil))
$\lambda n. \text{Suc}(n)$	lambda <u><math>n</math></u> (const <u>Suc</u> (cons (var <u><math>n</math></u> ) nil))
<b>rec</b> $x = x$	rec <u><math>x</math></u> (var <u><math>x</math></u> )

---

Here True is the element of *Const* standing for True, and  $n$  the element of *Var* standing for  $n$ .

# Another example

Concrete:

**case**  $n$  **of** { **Zero**()  $\rightarrow x$ ; **Suc**( $n$ )  $\rightarrow n$  }

Abstract:

```
case (var  $n$ )  
  (cons (branch  $Zero$  nil (var  $x$ ))  
        (cons (branch  $Suc$  (cons  $n$  nil) (var  $n$ ))  
              nil))
```

# Operational semantics

# Operational semantics

- ▶  $e \Downarrow v$ :  $e$  terminates with the value  $v$ .
- ▶ The expression  $e$  terminates (with a value) if  $\exists v. e \Downarrow v$ .
- ▶ Note that a “crash” does not count as termination (with a value).



# Operational semantics

- ▶ The binary relation  $\Downarrow$  relates *closed* expressions.
- ▶ An expression is closed if it has no free variables.

# Free variables

$$FV \in Exp \rightarrow \wp Var$$

$$FV(\text{var } x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV(\text{apply } e_1 e_2) = FV e_1 \cup FV e_2$$

$$FV(\text{lambda } x e) = FV e \setminus \{x\}$$

$$FV(\text{rec } x e) = FV e \setminus \{x\}$$

$$FV(\text{const } c es) = \bigcup_{e \in \underline{es}} FV e$$

$$FV(\text{case } e bs) = FV e \cup \bigcup_{b \in \underline{bs}} FV_{Br} b$$

$$FV_{Br} \in Br \rightarrow \wp Var$$

$$FV_{Br}(\text{branch } c xs e) = FV e \setminus \underline{xs}$$

(Here  $\underline{xs}$  is a set containing the elements in  $xs$ .)

# Quiz

Which of the following expressions are closed?

1.  $y$
2.  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$
3. **case**  $x$  **of** { **Cons**( $x, xs$ )  $\rightarrow x$  }
4. **case** **Suc**(**Zero**()) **of** { **Suc**( $x$ )  $\rightarrow x$  }
5. **rec**  $f = \lambda x. f$

Respond at <https://pingo.coactum.de/921051>.

# Operational semantics (1/3)

$$\overline{\text{lambda } x \ e \Downarrow \text{lambda } x \ e}$$
$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \text{lambda } x \ e \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad e [x \leftarrow v_2] \Downarrow v}{\text{apply } e_1 \ e_2 \Downarrow v}$$
$$\frac{e [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x \ e] \Downarrow v}{\text{rec } x \ e \Downarrow v}$$

# Substitution

- ▶  $e[x \leftarrow e']$ : Substitute  $e'$  for every *free* occurrence of  $x$  in  $e$ .
- ▶ To keep things simple:  $e'$  must be closed.
- ▶ If  $e'$  is not closed, then this definition is prone to *variable capture*.

# Substitution

$$\text{var } x [x \leftarrow e'] = e'$$

$$\text{var } y [x \leftarrow e'] = \text{var } y \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$

$$\text{apply } e_1 e_2 [x \leftarrow e'] =$$

$$\text{apply } (e_1 [x \leftarrow e']) (e_2 [x \leftarrow e'])$$

$$\text{lambda } x e [x \leftarrow e'] = \text{lambda } x e$$

$$\text{lambda } y e [x \leftarrow e'] =$$

$$\text{lambda } y (e [x \leftarrow e']) \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$

And so on...

# Quiz

What is the result of

$(\text{rec } y = \text{case } x \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow x; D(x) \rightarrow x \}) [x \leftarrow \lambda z. z]$ ?

$\text{rec } y = \text{case } x \quad \text{of } \{ C() \rightarrow x; \quad D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$   
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } x \quad \text{of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$   
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow x; \quad D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$   
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(x) \quad \rightarrow x \quad \}$   
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(x) \quad \rightarrow \lambda z. z \}$   
 $\text{rec } y = \text{case } \lambda z. z \text{ of } \{ C() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; D(\lambda z. z) \rightarrow \lambda z. z \}$

Respond at <https://pingo.coactum.de/921051>.

# Quiz

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{rec} \ y = \mathbf{case} \ x \ \mathbf{of} \ \{ \mathbf{C}() \rightarrow x; \mathbf{D}(x) \rightarrow x \}) \ [x \leftarrow \lambda z. z] & = \\ & \mathbf{rec} \ y = ((\mathbf{case} \ x \ \mathbf{of} \ \{ \mathbf{C}() \rightarrow x; \mathbf{D}(x) \rightarrow x \}) \ [x \leftarrow \lambda z. z]) & = \\ & \mathbf{rec} \ y = \mathbf{case} \ x \ [x \leftarrow \lambda z. z] \ \mathbf{of} \ \{ \mathbf{C}() \rightarrow x \ [x \leftarrow \lambda z. z]; \mathbf{D}(x) \rightarrow x \} & = \\ & \mathbf{rec} \ y = \mathbf{case} \ \lambda z. z \ \mathbf{of} \ \{ \mathbf{C}() \rightarrow \lambda z. z; \mathbf{D}(x) \rightarrow x \} \end{aligned}$$



# Operational semantics (2/3)

$$\frac{es \Downarrow^* vs}{\text{const } c \text{ es} \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ vs}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{nil} \Downarrow^* \text{nil}}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow v \quad es \Downarrow^* vs}{\text{cons } e \text{ es} \Downarrow^* \text{cons } v \text{ vs}}$$

# An example

$$\frac{\frac{\text{lambda } x \text{ (var } x) \Downarrow}{\text{lambda } x \text{ (var } x)}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{nil } \Downarrow^* \text{ nil}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil } \Downarrow} \quad \frac{\text{nil } \Downarrow^* \text{ nil}}{\text{var } x [x \leftarrow \text{const } c \text{ nil}] \Downarrow}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil}}}{\text{apply (lambda } x \text{ (var } x)) \text{ (const } c \text{ nil) } \Downarrow \text{ const } c \text{ nil}}}$$

# An example

$$\frac{\frac{\text{lambda } x \text{ (var } x) \Downarrow}{\text{lambda } x \text{ (var } x)}}{\text{apply (lambda } x \text{ (var } x)) \text{ (const } c \text{ nil)} \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ nil}} \quad \frac{\frac{\text{nil } \Downarrow^* \text{ nil}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil } \Downarrow}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil}} \quad \frac{\frac{\text{nil } \Downarrow^* \text{ nil}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil } \Downarrow}}{\text{const } c \text{ nil}}$$

# Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ vs} \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ bs } \Downarrow v}$$

# Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ vs} \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ bs } \Downarrow v}$$

The first matching branch, if any:

$$\frac{\text{Lookup } c \text{ (cons (branch } c \text{ } xs \ e) \text{ bs) } xs \ e}{c \neq c' \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e}{\text{Lookup } c \text{ (cons (branch } c' \text{ } xs' \ e') \text{ bs) } xs \ e}$$

# Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ vs} \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ bs } \Downarrow v}$$

$e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e''$  holds iff

- ▶ there is some  $n$  such that

$xs = \text{cons } x_1 \ (\dots(\text{cons } x_n \ \text{nil}))$  and  
 $vs = \text{cons } v_1 \ (\dots(\text{cons } v_n \ \text{nil}))$ , and

- ▶  $e'' = ((e' [x_n \leftarrow v_n]) \dots) [x_1 \leftarrow v_1]$ .

# Operational semantics (3/3)

$$\frac{e \Downarrow \text{const } c \text{ vs} \quad \text{Lookup } c \text{ bs } xs \ e' \quad e' [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'' \quad e'' \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ bs } \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{e [\text{nil} \leftarrow \text{nil}] \mapsto e}$$

$$\frac{e [xs \leftarrow vs] \mapsto e'}{e [\text{cons } x \ xs \leftarrow \text{cons } v \ vs] \mapsto e' [x \leftarrow v]}$$

# Quiz

Which of the following sets are inhabited?

case C() of { C() → D(); C() → C() } ↓ C()

case C() of { C() → D(); C() → C() } ↓ D()

case C() of { C(x) → D(); C() → D() } ↓ D()

case C(C(), D()) of { C(x, x) → x } ↓ C()

case Suc(False()) of

{ Zero() → True(); Suc(n) → n } ↓ False()

case Suc(False()) of

{ Zero() → True(); Suc() → False() } ↓ False()

Respond at <https://pingo.coactum.de/921051>.



Some  
properties

# Deterministic

The semantics is deterministic:  
if  $e \Downarrow v_1$  and  $e \Downarrow v_2$  then  $v_1 = v_2$ .

# Values

- ▶ An expression  $e$  is called a value if  $e \Downarrow e$ .
- ▶ Values can be characterised inductively:

$$\frac{}{\text{Value } (\text{lambda } x \ e)} \qquad \frac{\text{Values } es}{\text{Value } (\text{const } c \ es)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{Values nil}} \qquad \frac{\text{Value } e \quad \text{Values } es}{\text{Values } (\text{cons } e \ es)}$$

- ▶  $\text{Value } e$  holds iff  $e \Downarrow e$ .
- ▶ If  $e \Downarrow v$ , then  $\text{Value } v$ .

# There is a non-terminating expression

- ▶ The program  $\text{rec } x (\text{var } x)$  does not terminate with a value.
- ▶ Recall the rule for  $\text{rec}$ : 
$$\frac{e [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x e] \Downarrow v}{\text{rec } x e \Downarrow v}.$$
- ▶ Note that  $\text{var } x [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x (\text{var } x)] = \text{rec } x (\text{var } x).$
- ▶ Idea:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \qquad \qquad \qquad \rightarrow \\ \text{var } x [x \leftarrow \text{rec } x (\text{var } x)] = \\ \text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \qquad \qquad \qquad \rightarrow \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

# There is a non-terminating expression

- ▶ If the program did terminate, then there would be a *finite* derivation of the following form:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdots}{\text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \Downarrow v}}{\text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \Downarrow v}}{\text{rec } x (\text{var } x) \Downarrow v}}$$

- ▶ Exercise: Prove more formally that this is impossible, using induction on the structure of the semantics.

# The halting problem

# The extensional halting problem

There is no closed expression *halts* such that, for every closed expression *p*,

- ▶  $halts (\lambda x. p) \Downarrow \text{True}()$ , if *p* terminates, and
- ▶  $halts (\lambda x. p) \Downarrow \text{False}()$ , otherwise.

# The extensional halting problem

Note the abuse of notation:

- ▶ The variables *halts* and *p* are not  $\chi$  variables.
- ▶ *Meta-variables* standing for  $\chi$  expressions.
- ▶ An alternative is to use abstract syntax:

apply *halts* (lambda *x* *p*)  $\Downarrow$  const *True* nil  
apply *halts* (lambda *x* *p*)  $\Downarrow$  const *False* nil

(For *distinct* *True*, *False*  $\in$  *Const*.)

- ▶ More verbose.



# The extensional halting problem

- ▶ Assume that *halts* can be defined.
- ▶ Define  $terminv \in Exp \rightarrow Exp$ :

$$terminv\ p = \mathbf{case}\ halts\ (\lambda x. p)\ \mathbf{of}$$
$$\quad \{ \mathbf{True}() \rightarrow \mathbf{rec}\ x = x$$
$$\quad ; \mathbf{False}() \rightarrow \mathbf{Zero}()$$
$$\quad \}$$

- ▶ For any closed expression  $p$ :  
 $terminv\ p$  terminates iff  $p$  does not terminate.

# The extensional halting problem

- ▶ Now consider the closed expression *strange* defined by  $\mathbf{rec} \ p = \mathit{terminv} \ p$  (where  $p \neq x$ ).
- ▶ We get a contradiction:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\exists v. \mathit{strange} & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists v. \mathbf{rec} \ p = \mathit{terminv} \ p & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists v. \mathit{terminv} \ p [p \leftarrow \mathit{strange}] & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists v. \mathit{terminv} \ \mathit{strange} & \Downarrow v) & \Leftrightarrow \\ \neg (\exists v. \mathit{strange} & \Downarrow v) & \end{array}$$

# The extensional halting problem

- ▶ Note that we apply *halts* to a program, not to the source code of a program.
- ▶ How can source code be represented?

Representing  
inductively  
defined sets

# Natural numbers

One method:

- ▶ Notation:  $\ulcorner n \urcorner \in Exp$  represents  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Representation:

$$\ulcorner \text{zero} \urcorner = \text{Zero}()$$

$$\ulcorner \text{suc } n \urcorner = \text{Suc}(\ulcorner n \urcorner)$$

# Natural numbers

One method:

- ▶ Notation:  $\ulcorner n \urcorner \in \text{Exp}$  represents  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Representation:

$$\ulcorner \text{zero} \urcorner = \text{Zero}()$$

$$\ulcorner \text{suc } n \urcorner = \text{Suc}(\ulcorner n \urcorner)$$

- ▶ Note that the concrete syntax should be interpreted as abstract syntax:

$$\ulcorner \text{zero} \urcorner = \text{const } \underline{\text{Zero}} \text{ nil}$$

$$\ulcorner \text{suc } n \urcorner = \text{const } \underline{\text{Suc}} (\text{cons } \ulcorner n \urcorner \text{ nil})$$

(For some distinct  $\underline{\text{Zero}}, \underline{\text{Suc}} \in \text{Const.}$ )

# Lists

If elements in  $A$  can be represented, then elements in  $List\ A$  can also be represented:

$$\begin{aligned}\lceil \text{nil} \rceil &= \text{Nil}() \\ \lceil \text{cons } x\ xs \rceil &= \text{Cons}(\lceil x \rceil, \lceil xs \rceil)\end{aligned}$$

Many inductively defined sets can be treated in the same way.

# Variables, constructor names

- ▶ *Var*: Countably infinite, so there is a bijection  $number \in Var \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Thus each variable  $x \in Var$  can be assigned a unique natural number  $number\ x \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Define  $\ulcorner x \urcorner = \ulcorner number\ x \urcorner$ .
- ▶ Similarly for constructor names.



# Variables, constructor names

- ▶  $Var$ : Countably infinite, so there is a bijection  $number \in Var \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Thus each variable  $x \in Var$  can be assigned a unique natural number  $number\ x \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Define  $\ulcorner x \urcorner^{Var} = \ulcorner number\ x \urcorner^{\mathbb{N}}$ .
- ▶ Similarly for constructor names.

# Source code

$\ulcorner \text{var } x \urcorner$	$= \text{Var}(\ulcorner x \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{apply } e_1 e_2 \urcorner$	$= \text{Apply}(\ulcorner e_1 \urcorner, \ulcorner e_2 \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{lambda } x e \urcorner$	$= \text{Lambda}(\ulcorner x \urcorner, \ulcorner e \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{rec } x e \urcorner$	$= \text{Rec}(\ulcorner x \urcorner, \ulcorner e \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{const } c es \urcorner$	$= \text{Const}(\ulcorner c \urcorner, \ulcorner es \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{case } e bs \urcorner$	$= \text{Case}(\ulcorner e \urcorner, \ulcorner bs \urcorner)$
$\ulcorner \text{branch } c xs e \urcorner$	$= \text{Branch}(\ulcorner c \urcorner, \ulcorner xs \urcorner, \ulcorner e \urcorner)$

# Example

- ▶ Concrete syntax:  $\lambda x. \text{Suc}(x)$ .
- ▶ Abstract syntax:

lambda  $\underline{x}$  (const Suc (cons (var  $\underline{x}$ ) nil))

(for some  $\underline{x} \in \text{Var}$  and Suc  $\in \text{Const}$ ).

- ▶ Representation (concrete syntax):

Lambda( $\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner$ ,  
Const( $\ulcorner \underline{\text{Suc}} \urcorner$ , Cons(Var( $\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner$ ), Nil()))))

- ▶ If  $\underline{x}$  and Suc both correspond to zero:

Lambda(Zero(),  
Const(Zero(),  
Cons(Var(Zero()), Nil()))))

# Example

Representation (abstract syntax):

```
const Lambda (  
  cons (const Zero nil) (  
    cons (const Const (  
      cons (const Zero nil) (  
        cons (const Cons (  
          cons (const Var (cons (const Zero nil) nil)) (  
            cons (const Nil nil)  
          nil)))  
        nil)))  
      nil)))  
    nil))
```

# Quiz

How is `rec x = x` represented?

Assume that  $x$  corresponds to 1.

1. `Rec(X(), X())`
2. `Rec(X(), Var(X()))`
3. `Equals(Rec(X()), X())`
4. `Rec(Suc(Zero()), Suc(Zero()))`
5. `Rec(Suc(Zero()), Var(Suc(Zero())))`
6. `Equals(Rec(Suc(Zero())), Suc(Zero()))`

Respond at <https://pingo.coactum.de/921051>.

The halting  
problem,  
take two

# The intensional halting problem (with self-application)

There is no closed expression *halts* such that,  
for every closed expression *p*,

- ▶  $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{True}()$ , if  $p \ulcorner p \urcorner$  terminates, and
- ▶  $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{False}()$ , otherwise.

# With self-application

- ▶ Assume that *halts* can be defined.
- ▶ Define the closed expression *terminv*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{terminv} = \lambda p. \mathbf{case} \textit{halts} \textit{p} \mathbf{of} \\ \quad \{ \text{True}() \rightarrow \mathbf{rec} \ x = x \\ \quad ; \text{False}() \rightarrow \mathbf{Zero}() \\ \quad \} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For any closed expression *p*:  
*terminv*  $\ulcorner p \urcorner$  terminates iff  
*p*  $\ulcorner p \urcorner$  does not terminate.
- ▶ Thus *terminv*  $\ulcorner \textit{terminv} \urcorner$  terminates iff  
*terminv*  $\ulcorner \textit{terminv} \urcorner$  does not terminate.



# The intensional halting problem

There is no closed expression  $halts$  such that, for every closed expression  $p$ ,

- ▶  $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{True}()$ , if  $p$  terminates, and
- ▶  $halts \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \text{False}()$ , otherwise.

# The intensional halting problem

- ▶ Assume that *halts* can be defined.
- ▶ If we can use *halts* to solve the previous variant of the halting problem, then we have found a contradiction.

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression  $code$  satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression  $p$ .

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \ulcorner \lambda x. x \urcorner \urcorner$$

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \ulcorner \text{lambda } \underline{x} (\text{var } \underline{x}) \urcorner \urcorner$$

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression  $p$ .

Example:

$$\ulcorner \text{Lambda}(\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner, \text{Var}(\ulcorner \underline{x} \urcorner)) \urcorner$$

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

$$\ulcorner \text{Lambda}(\text{Zero}(), \text{Var}(\text{Zero}())) \urcorner$$

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
\ulcorner const Lambda (  
  cons \ulcorner Zero() \urcorner (  
    cons \ulcorner Var(Zero()) \urcorner  
      nil)) \urcorner
```



# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
 $\ulcorner$  const Lambda (  
  cons (const Zero nil) (  
    cons  $\ulcorner$  Var(Zero())  $\urcorner$   
    nil))  $\urcorner$ 
```

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
\ulcorner const Lambda (  
  cons (const Zero nil) (  
    cons (const Var (cons (const Zero nil) nil))  
    nil)) \urcorner
```

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
Const(Lambda,  
  Cons(Const(Zero, Nil()),  
    Cons(Const(Var,  
      Cons(Const(Zero, Nil()),  
        Nil()))),  
    Nil()))))
```

# The intensional halting problem

Exercise: Define a closed expression *code* satisfying

$$code \ulcorner p \urcorner \Downarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$$

for any closed expression *p*.

Example:

```
Const(Suc(Zero()),  
  Cons(Const(Suc(Suc(Zero()))), Nil()),  
  Cons(Const(Suc(Suc(Suc(Zero()))),  
        Cons(Const(Suc(Suc(Zero()))), Nil()),  
        Nil())),  
  Nil()))
```

# The intensional halting problem

Define the closed expression  $halts'$  by

$$\lambda p. halts \text{ Apply}(p, code\ p).$$

For any closed expression  $p$ :

$p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner$ terminates		$\Rightarrow$
$halts \text{ } \ulcorner p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner$	$\Downarrow \text{True}()$	$\Rightarrow$
$halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner)$	$\Downarrow \text{True}()$	$\Rightarrow$
$halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, code \ulcorner p \urcorner)$	$\Downarrow \text{True}()$	$\Rightarrow$
$halts' \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner$	$\Downarrow \text{True}()$	

# The intensional halting problem

Define the closed expression  $halts'$  by

$$\lambda p. halts \text{ Apply}(p, \text{code } p).$$

For any closed expression  $p$ :

$$\begin{array}{llll} p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \text{ does not terminate} & & \Rightarrow & \\ halts \text{ } \ulcorner p \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \Rightarrow & \\ halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, \ulcorner \ulcorner p \urcorner \urcorner) & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \Rightarrow & \\ halts \text{ Apply}(\ulcorner p \urcorner, \text{code } \ulcorner p \urcorner) & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & \Rightarrow & \\ halts' \text{ } \ulcorner p \urcorner & \Downarrow \text{ False}() & & \end{array}$$

Thus  $halts'$  solves the previous variant of the halting problem, and we have found a contradiction.

# Summary

- ▶ Concrete and abstract syntax.
- ▶ Operational semantics.
- ▶ Several variants of the halting problem.
- ▶ Representing inductively defined sets.