## Statistical inference (MVE155/MSG200)

Parameter estimation

Given a parametric model (distribution) which depends on some unknown parameters  $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_k)$ , we would like to estimate the parameters from the sample  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ .

The two main methods to estimate the parameters are

- method of moments (compares the distribution and sample moments)
- maximum likelihood method (maximises the so-called likelihood function with respect to the parameters).

We have a model (distribution) with, say, two parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  and we assume that

 $\mathbb{E}(X) = f(\theta_1, \theta_2), \quad \mathbb{E}(X^2) = g(\theta_1, \theta_2).$ 

For example, for the normal distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ ,

► 𝔅(𝑋) = μ
► 𝔅(𝑋<sup>2</sup>) = σ<sup>2</sup> + μ<sup>2</sup>.

The sample moments

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \quad \overline{X^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$$

are consistent estimators for  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(X^2)$ .

The method of moment estimates,  $\tilde{\theta}_1$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_2$ , for the parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively, can be found be setting

$$ar{x}=f( ilde{ heta}_1, ilde{ heta}_2), \quad \overline{x^2}=g( ilde{ heta}_1, ilde{ heta}_2).$$

For normal distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mu, \quad \mathbb{E}(X^2) = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$$

Method of moment estimates  $\tilde{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma^2}$  are

$$\tilde{\mu} = \bar{x}, \quad \tilde{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

 For  $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$ ,

$$P(X = x) = (1 - p)^{x-1}p, \quad x = 1, 2, ...$$

and  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{1}{p}$ . We will find the method of moments estimate for p by setting

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}$$

which gives  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$ .

We have a sample  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  (realization of  $(X_1, ..., X_n)$ ) from a population with the population density (or frequency) function  $f(x|\theta)$ . The joint distribution of the random sample

 $L(\theta) = f(x_1, ..., x_n | \theta) = f(x_1 | \theta) \cdots f(x_n | \theta)$ 

is called a likelihood function. Note that it is treated as a function of the parameter vector  $\theta$ .

For discrete distributions, the joint frequency or likelihood function gives the probability of observing the given data as a function of  $\theta$ .

The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate for  $\theta$  is the one that maximises the likelihood function *L*. It is denoted by  $\hat{\theta}$ .

## Maximum likelihood method: normal distribution

Let us have a sample  $x_1, ..., x_n$  from the population distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ . The likelihood function becomes

$$L(\mu, \sigma^{2}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i}|\mu, \sigma^{2}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}).$$

Often, it is easier to differentiate the log likelihood function  $I(\theta) = \ln L(\theta)$  than  $L(\theta)$ . In our case,

$$l(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2}ln(n\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i+1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2.$$

Maximising with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  gives

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}, \quad \hat{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

8/14

イロン 不得 とうほう イロン 二日

Let us have a statistic (a function of the sample  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ )  $t = g(x_1, ..., x_n)$  such that

 $L(\theta) = f(x_1, ..., x_n | \theta) = h(t, \theta) \cdot c(x_1, ..., x_n) \propto h(t, \theta),$ 

where  $c(x_1, ..., x_n)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . Then, the ML estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  depends on the data only through t.

 $\rightarrow$  t is called a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

## Sufficient statistics: normal distribution

Let  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  be a sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} L(\mu,\sigma) &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n\mu^2)) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (t_2 - 2\mu t_1 + n\mu^2)), \end{split}$$

where

$$t_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad t_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2.$$

Statistics  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are sufficient statistics for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Therefore, if we have two samples with the same  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , they result in the same ML estimates for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

## Sufficient statistics: geometric distribution

For geometric distribution Geom(p), the likelihood function becomes

$$L(p) = P(X_1 = x_1, ..., X_n = x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = x_i)$$
$$= p^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{x_i-1}$$
$$= p^n (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i-n} = p^n (1-p)^{t-n},$$

where

$$t=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

is a sufficient statistic for p.

Let us have a sample  $x_1, ..., x_n$  from the population distribution f with a single parameter  $\theta$  and the log likelihood function

 $I(\theta) = In(f(x_1|\theta)) + \dots + In(f(x_n|\theta)).$ 

It can be shown that the ML estimator is approximatively normally distributed when the sample size n is large, i.e.

$$\hat{\theta} \approx N(\theta, \frac{\sigma_{\theta}}{\sqrt{n}}),$$

where  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$  is the inverse of the so-called Fisher information (variance of the first derivative of the log likelihood function  $I(\theta)$ , i.e. the expectation of the derivative squared).

ML estimators are asymptotically efficient estimators in the sense of the Cramér-Rao inequality: If  $\theta^*$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , then

 $\operatorname{Var}(\theta^*) \geq \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2}{n},$ 

i.e. the variance is at least the "large sample" variance of the ML estimator.

 $\rightarrow$  ML estimator has the smallest variance among all the unbiased estimators.

Also, estimators based on sufficient statistics are more efficient (have smaller variance) than other estimators.

Method of moments:

$$\tilde{\alpha} = rac{ar{x}^2}{\overline{x^2} - ar{x}^2}$$
 and  $\lambda = rac{ar{x}}{\overline{x^2} - ar{x}^2}$ 

Maximum likelihood:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\lambda} \, \bar{x}$$
 and  $n \ln \left( \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\bar{x}} \right) = n \cdot \frac{\Gamma'(\hat{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\hat{\alpha})} - \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i) \right)$ 

(needs to be computed numerically).