TMA947/MMG621 OPTIMIZATION, BASIC COURSE

Date: 13–08–29

Time: House V, morning, 8^{30} – 13^{30}

Aids: Text memory-less calculator, English–Swedish dictionary

Number of questions: 7; passed on one question requires 2 points of 3.

Questions are *not* numbered by difficulty.

To pass requires 10 points and three passed questions.

Examiner: Michael Patriksson

Teacher on duty: Cornelia Jareteg (0703-088304)

Result announced: 13–04–18

Short answers are also given at the end of

the exam on the notice board for optimization

in the MV building.

Exam instructions

When you answer the questions

Use generally valid theory and methods. State your methodology carefully.

Only write on one page of each sheet. Do not use a red pen.

Do not answer more than one question per page.

At the end of the exam

Sort your solutions by the order of the questions.

Mark on the cover the questions you have answered.

Count the number of sheets you hand in and fill in the number on the cover.

Question 1

(the simplex method)

Consider the following linear program to

minimize
$$x_1 - x_2$$
,
subject to $x_1 + x_2 \ge 1$,
 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$,
 $x_2 \ge 0$.

a) Solve this problem using phase I (so that you begin with a unit matrix as (2p)the first basis) and phase II of the simplex method. If the problem has an optimal solution, then present the optimal solution in both the original variables and in the variables used in the standard form. If the problem is unbounded, then use your calculations to find a direction of unboundedness in both the original variables and in the variables used in the standard form.

Aid: Utilize the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

(1p)b) Is the solution obtained unique? Use your calculations from a) to motivate why/why not.

Question 2

(Lagrangian relaxation)

Consider the optimization problem to

minimize
$$(x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$
, (1a)

subject to
$$x_1 + x_2 \le 4$$
, (1b)
 $0 \le x_j \le 4$, $j = 1, 2$. (1c)

$$0 \le x_j \le 4, \quad j = 1, 2.$$
 (1c)

(2p)a) Formulate and solve the dual problem obtained when Lagrangian relaxing the constraint (1b).

(1p) b) Construct an optimal solution to the primal problem (1) by using the information obtained in a).

(3p) Question 3

(algorithm choice)

For the following optimization problems, choose the most appropriate solutionmethod from the list below, in the sense that the method requiring more assumptions on a problem is deemed as more approriate (i.e., although a linear program can be solved with an exterior penalty method, this is deemed as less approriate than a pure linear programming solution method). Answers without motivation will be disregarded.

- The Simplex method
- The Frank-Wolfe method
- The subgradient method
- The exterior penalty method
- Newton's method with the Levenberg-Marquardt modification
- (**1p**) a)

minimize
$$(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2)e^{x_1+x_2}$$
,
subject to $x_1 - x_2 \le 0$,
 $0 \le x_1, x_2 \le 5$

where q is the Lagrange dual function to the problem in (a), formed by relaxing the first constraint (assume that $q(\mu)$ is easy to compute)

(1p) c) minimize
$$x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2$$
, subject to $(x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 4)^2 \le 25$, $(x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 + 2)^2 > 16$

Question 4

(cones and conditions)

Consider the problem to

minimize
$$x_1 + x_2$$
,
subject to $\sin(\pi x_1) = 0$,
 $\sin(\pi x_2) = 0$,

the feasible set of which is denoted by S. Note that $S = \mathbf{Z}^2 = \{x \mid x_1, x_2 \text{ integers}\}.$

(1p) a) Show that the tangent cone is $T_S(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{0}^m\}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in S$. Reminder: the tangent cone is defined by

$$T_S(\boldsymbol{x}) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{p} \mid \boldsymbol{p} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}), \ \lim_{k \to \infty} \boldsymbol{x}_k = \boldsymbol{x}, \ \lambda_k \geq 0, \boldsymbol{x}_k \in S \ ext{for all} \ k = 1, 2, \ldots
ight\}.$$

(2p) b) Find all KKT-points of the problem. Is any KKT-point a globally optimal solution? You may, if you so wish, assume that the Abadie CQ holds.

(3p) Question 5

(modelling)

An online casino is running a promotion giving new players a gift item after a certain amount of money M has been used for betting. An optimization student who justs wants the gift item asks the question whether he/she should buy the item from a store or if he/she can find a betting strategy in which the worst case loss of money is less than the price of the item in a store.

Your task is therefore to formulate a linear programming model determining which bets to make in order to maximize the guaranteed payout (i.e., the worst case scenario) after exactly M SEK of bets have been made.

Assume that there is an available set of games $\mathcal{N} := \{1, ..., N\}$ to bet on, each having a set \mathcal{B}_i of K_i mutually exclusive possible bets $\mathcal{B}_i := \{1, ..., K_i\}$ yielding a payout r_{ik} for $i \in \mathcal{N}$, $k \in \mathcal{B}_i$.

Example: There are two football matches to bet on: Inter Milan against AC Milan with payouts 10.0, 3.5, 1.1 and Juventus against Roma with payouts 2.0, 2.0, 2.0. A player betting all M=10000 SEK on AC Milan winning versus in the (very unlikely) worst-case scenario loses all the money. A player who bets equal amounts 10000/6 on SEK on all six bets nets in the worst-case scenario (i.e., AC Milan winning and any result in Juventus against Roma) $1.1 \times 10000/6 + 2.0 \times 10000/6 = 5166.66...$ SEK.

(3p) Question 6

(strong duality in linear programming)

Consider the following standard form of a linear program:

minimize
$$c^{T}x$$
,
subject to $Ax = b$,
 $x > 0^{n}$,

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. State and prove the Strong Duality Theorem in linear programming.

Question 7

(true or false)

Indicate for each of the following three statements whether it is *true* or *false*. Motivate your answers!

- (1p) a) For the phase I (when a BFS is *not* known a priori) problem of the simplex algorithm, the optimal value is always zero.
- (1p) b) Suppose that the function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R}^n and let \mathbf{G} be a symmetric and positive definite matrix of dimension $n \times n$. Then, if $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \neq \mathbf{0}^n$ and the vector \mathbf{d} fulfils $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{d} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ it holds that $f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{d}) < f(\mathbf{x})$ for small enough values of t > 0.
- (1p) c) If the function $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is *concave* on \mathbb{R}^n and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then the set $\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq c \}$ is convex.