

**TMA947/MMG621
NONLINEAR OPTIMISATION**

Date: 19–10–31

Examiner: Michael Patriksson

Note: the solutions presented here are brief in relation to the requirements on your answers, in particular regarding your motivations.

Question 1

(the simplex method)

(1p) a) The dual problem in standard form becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & z = 2y_1 + y_2 + \frac{1}{2}y_3 + \frac{1}{2}y_4, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & 2y_1 - y_3 + y_4 - s_1 = 1, \\ & y_1 + y_2 + y_3 - y_4 - s_2 = 1, \\ & y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, s_1, s_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(1.5p) b) Introducing the artificial variable a_1 , phase I gives the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & w = a_1, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & 2y_1 - y_3 + y_4 - s_1 + a_1 = 1, \\ & y_1 + y_2 + y_3 - y_4 - s_2 = 1, \\ & y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, s_1, s_2, a_1 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the starting basis $(a_1, y_2)^T$ gives

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{c}_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{c}_N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The reduced costs, $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_N^T = \mathbf{c}_N^T - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N}$, for this basis is $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_N^T = (-2, 1, -1, 1, 0)$, which means that y_1 enters the basis. $\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T$ thus the minimum ratio test implies that a_1 leaves.

Thus, we move on to phase II using the basis $(y_1, y_2)^T$, and

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{c}_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{c}_N = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The new reduced costs are $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_N^T = (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Since the reduced costs are all non-negative, the current BFS is optimal. The optimal solution to the dual problem is hence $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ with the objective value of $\frac{3}{2}$.

(.5p) c) Since the primal variables of our original problem are the dual variables of the dual problem, we get that $\mathbf{x}^T = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} = (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

Question 2

(unconstrained optimization)

a) For the steepest descent method:

$$\mathbf{p} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0) = (-4, 0)^T$$

b) For Newton's method:

$$\mathbf{p} = -[\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})]^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0) = (-4/3, -2/3)^T$$

c) For Levenberg-Marquardt method:

$$\mathbf{p} = -[\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) + \gamma I]^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0) = (-4/9, 2/9)^T$$

The methods a) and c) always finds descent directions (if γ is chosen large enough)

(3p) Question 3

(Lagrangian relaxation)

Lagrangian relax the first constraint, we can get:

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mu) = x_1 - 2x_2 + \mu(2 - x_1 + x_2) = (1 - \mu)x_1 + (\mu - 2)x_2 + 2\mu.$$
$$q(\mu) = \max_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \mu) = \begin{cases} 7\mu - 10, & \mu \in [0, 1.5) & x_1 = 0, x_2 = 5, \\ 0.5, & \mu = 1.5 & x_1 + x_2 = 5, \\ 5 - 3\mu & \mu \in (1.5, \infty) & x_1 = 5, x_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

So $q^* = 0.5$, $\mu^* = 1.5$.

For complementary slackness, we need to fulfill $\mu_i^* g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, since $\mu \neq 0$, so $g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, which means $2 - x_1 + x_2 = 0$. Combine with $x_1 + x_2 = 5$, we can get $\mathbf{x}^* = (3.5, 1.5)^T$. We can check that (\mathbf{x}^*, μ^*) fulfilled all the conditions listed in Theorem 6.8, so \mathbf{x}^* is the optimal solution for the original problem. The optimal value is 0.5.

(3p) **Question 4**

(KKT conditions)

(2p) a) The KKT conditions are

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda \nabla h(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

There is only one feasible point fulfilling the KKT conditions:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (4, 4, 4)^T$$

with $\gamma = -8$.

(1p) b) The problem is undounded. Take $x_1 = M$, $x_2 = M$ and $x_3 = 12 - 2M$ which is feasible. The objective value is $x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 = M^2 + M(12 - 2M) + M(12 - 2M) = 24M - 3M^2$. Let M tend to infinity and you get an undounded solution.

(3p) **Question 5**

(modelling)

Variables, let

- x_{ij} equal to one if the piece of length l_i is cut from the board of length L_j , and equal to zero otherwise, $i = 1, \dots, N$, $j = 1, \dots, M$.
- y_j equal to one if the board of length L_j is purchased, $j = 1, \dots, M$.
- z_k be the number of times a discount has been retrieved for board of type k , $k = 1, \dots, K$.

$$\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{j=1}^M p_j y_j - \sum_{k=1}^K d_k z_k, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N l_i x_{ij} \leq L_j y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^M x_{ij} = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{j \in S_k} y_j \geq 4z_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, K, \quad (4)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, M \quad (5)$$

$$y_j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (6)$$

$$z_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad j = 1, \dots, K. \quad (7)$$

Question 6

(true or false)

- (1p) a) *True*. The KKT conditions becomes

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ 2x_2 - x_1 + 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \mu_i \geq 0, \mu_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$$

Where $\mu_2 > 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mu_2 = 0$ leads to an inconsistent system.

- (1p) b) *True*. We check if the gradient cone and tangent cone are equal. The gradient cone is $G(\mathbf{x}^*) = \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_2 \leq 0, x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0\} = \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 \geq 0, x_2 = 0\}$. For the tangent cone, let $\{\mathbf{x}^k\} \subset S$ be any sequence of points converging to \mathbf{x}^* , thus for any $\varepsilon > 0 \exists K$ such that $\mathbf{x}_1^k \leq \varepsilon, \forall k \geq K$. Assuming that $\mathbf{x}_2^k > 0$ leads to a contradiction that $\mathbf{x}_1^k > 1$ thus $\mathbf{x}_2^k = 0, \forall k \geq K$. We thus get that $G(\mathbf{x}^*) = T_S(\mathbf{x}^*)$, i.e., Abadie's CQ holds.
- (1p) c) *False*. Since any sequence of converging points must satisfy $\mathbf{x}_2^k = 0$, we have that there exist no sequence of strict interior points that converge to \mathbf{x}^* .

(3p) Question 7

(convergence of an exterior penalty method)

See Theorem 13.3 in the course book.