Exercises for exercise class 4 in MMS075, Feb 11, 2020

1. We run best subset selection for predicting miles per gallon values in the Auto dataset. This dataset contains 392 observations on the following variables: mpg: miles per gallon; cylinders: Number of cylinders between 4 and 8; displacement: Engine displacement (cu. inches); horsepower: Engine horsepower; weight: Vehicle weight (lbs.); acceleration: Time to accelerate from 0 to 60 mph (sec.); year: Model year (modulo 100); origin: Origin of car (1. American, 2. European, 3. Japanese); name: Vehicle name

We do not want to use vehicle name as a predictor, but we have seen earlier a quadratic relationship between horsepower and mpg, so we add horsepower^2 as a predictor. We get the following outputs in R:

cylinders	displaceme	nt horsepower	weight	acceleration	year origin	I(horsepower^2)
1(1)			н _ф п		n	
2 (1)			n			
3 (1) 4 (1)""			"*"		n _* n n n	п _ф п
4 (1) 5 (1)""		"*"	"*"		"*" "*"	и _е и
6 (1) " "		"*"	"*"	п _ж п	"*" "*"	п _е п
7 (1) "*"		"*"	"*"	п _ф п	"*" "*"	" _* "
8 (1) "*"	"*"	"*"	" _* "	"*"	"*" "*"	" _{\$} "
> BestMPGsummary\$rsq						
[1] 0.6926304 0.	8081803 0	.8174522 0.	843094	9 0.8506034	0.8546931	0.8548282 0.8552261
	22	• • •		B 10		
	0	0		4		
				8 -		
	o °			Y		
R2	0.0					
sted			Ö			
djus	Q		ш	99 -		
*	0.7					
				² 6 – °		
	0			8 .		
	6			F	• • • •	
	1 2 2	4 5 6 7 9		1 2 2 4	5 6 7 9	
	ber of variables	Number o	r variables			
	0.85 -			-710 -		
	0.85 -			-710 -		
	0.85 -			-710 -		
	0.85			700 -		
dir	0.05		<u>o</u>	-700		
0	0.84 -			-700 -		
	0.82 -			-640 -		
	0.81 -			-630 -		
	0.69 -			-450 -		
	der: nen	owe eigh yea rigir		cept men wei	eign yea sr^2	
	oylin acer	sep eler: o		nter cylin acei		
	() ()	acc acc		hor (I	acc	

horsepow > coef(BestMPGModel,which.min(BestMPGsummary\$bic)) acceleration origin I(horsepower^2) (Intercept) horsepower weight vear -0.0034454734 1.2151778228 -0.3087574097 0.7367124307 0.0009614144 -0.3122721115 1.0847454604

Based on this information, answer the following questions:

- a) What are the predictors in the model selected by the best subsect selection algorithm using BIC for assessing model quality? Write the model equation!
- b) What is the final model selected by the best subsect selection algorithm using adjusted R² for assessing model quality? Write the model equation!
- c) How well does the best model fit the mpg values?

2. Recall that the prediction model for Advertising example was as follows:

 $sales = 6.7502 + 0.0191 \times TV + 0.0289 \times radio + 0.0011 \times TV \times radio$

Predict the number of sold units using this model for the following advertisement budget distributions:

- a) TV budget: \$0, radio budget: \$100 000;
- b) TV budget: \$100 000, radio budget: \$0;
- c) TV budget: \$50 000, radio budget: \$50 000;
- d) TV budget: \$50 000, radio budget: \$50 000, newspaper budget: \$30 000.

Furthermore, estimate the effect of:

- e) \$1000 increase of TV advertisement on sold units if the radio budget is \$10 000;
- f) \$1000 increase of TV advertisement on sold units if the radio budget is \$100 000;
- g) \$1000 increase of radio advertisement on sold units if the TV budget is \$50 000.

Finally, predict the number of sold units for:

- h) TV budget: \$50 000, radio budget: \$51 000.
- 3. Check the mpg vs horsepower graphs presented in the lecture:



Quadratic model, scatter plot





Based on these graphs, address the following points:

- a) Why are there several points in the residual plot of the linear model with xcoordinates between 0 and 10 and no such points at all in the residual plot of the quadratic model?
- b) Find the corresponding points on the scatter plots!

4. Consider a simple linear model predicting body weight with height as predictor, based on 20 observations. The R summary for the model is given below:

```
Call:
lm(formula = BodyWeight ~ Height)
Residuals:
                    Median
    Min
               10
                                 30
                                         Мах
-14.9108
          -4.2229
                    0.4685
                             8.1552
                                     11.2213
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -95.1240
                        25.3518
                                 -3.752 0.00146 **
                                  6.821 2.19e-06 ***
Height
              0.9736
                         0.1427
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 9.093 on 18 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7211,
                               Adjusted R-squared: 0.7056
F-statistic: 46.53 on 1 and 18 DF, p-value: 2.192e-06
```

Now let us assume that I accidentally doubled the data before the analysis, i.e. copy the set of observations twice into a table before importing it in R without noticing this. This gives the following output in R:

```
Call:
lm(formula = BodyWeight ~ Height)
Residuals:
               10
                    Median
     Min
                                  30
                                          Мах
-14.9108
          -4.2229
                    0.4685
                              8.1552 11.2213
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                  -5.452 3.21e-06 ***
9.911 4.37e-12 ***
(Intercept) -95.12397
                        17.44833
Height
              0.97359
                          0.09823
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 8.851 on 38 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7211,
                                 Adjusted R-squared: 0.7137
F-statistic: 98.23 on 1 and 38 DF, p-value: 4.374e-12
```

Compare the two sets of results:

- a) Which values in the summary remain the same?
- b) Which values change?
- c) Which model looks better based on the R output?
- d) Is there any assumption of linear regression violated in the second model based on doubled data?
- 5. See the default R plots below for the Advertisement model of sales with TV and radio budgets as predictors.





Based on these graphs, address the following points:

- a) Do these graphs suggest any non-linear relationship between the response and the predictors?
- b) Are there any outliers in the model?
- c) Are there any high leverage points in the model?

Now we add an extra point to the data where we forget that sales are measured in 1000 units, fit the model and create the default plots again:



What are your answers to the questions a)-c) based on the updated graphs?

6. Do exercise 14 on page 125 of <u>ISL</u>. The R outputs required for the exercise are as follows:

Part b)



```
Part c)
```

Call: $lm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2)$ Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Мах -2.8311 -0.7273 -0.0537 0.6338 2.3359 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 2.1305 0.2319 9.188 7.61e-15 *** 0.0487 * 0.7212 1.996 x1 1.4396 x2 0.891 1.0097 1.1337 0.3754 ____ signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 1.056 on 97 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.2088, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1925 F-statistic: 12.8 on 2 and 97 DF, p-value: 0.00001164

Part d)

Call: lm(formula = y ~ x1) Residuals: 1Q Median Min 3Q Мах -2.89495 -0.66874 -0.07785 0.59221 2.45560 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) 0.2307 9.155 8.27e-15 *** 0.3963 4.986 2.66e-06 *** (Intercept) 2.1124 1.9759 x1 ____ signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 1.055 on 98 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.2024, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1942 F-statistic: 24.86 on 1 and 98 DF, p-value: 2.661e-06

Part e)

```
Call:
lm(formula = y \sim x2)
Residuals:
                  1Q Median
                                            30
      Min
                                                      Мах
-2.62687 -0.75156 -0.03598 0.72383 2.44890
Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
2.3899 0.1949 12.26 < 2e-16 ***
2.8996 0.6330 4.58 0.0000137 ***
(Intercept)
x2
___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.072 on 98 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.1763, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1
                                         Adjusted R-squared: 0.1679
```

F-statistic: 20.98 on 1 and 98 DF, p-value: 0.00001366

Part g) – NOTE: THESE ARE THE OUTPUTS WITH THE MISMEASURED OBSERVATION INCLUDED!

```
call:
lm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2)
Residuals:
     Min
                1Q Median
                                      3Q
                                                Мах
-2.73348 -0.69318 -0.05263 0.66385 2.30619
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.2314 9.624 7.91e-16 ***
(Intercept)
               2.2267
                             0.5922
                                      0.911 0.36458
2.801 0.00614 **
x1
                0.5394
x2
                2.5146
                            0.8977
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.075 on 98 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.2188, Adjusted R-squared: 0.
F-statistic: 13.72 on 2 and 98 DF, p-value: 5.564e-06
                                   Adjusted R-squared: 0.2029
call:
lm(formula = y \sim x1)
Residuals:
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-2.8897 -0.6556 -0.0909 0.5682 3.5665
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                         0.2390 9.445 1.78e-15 ***
0.4124 4.282 4.29e-05 ***
(Intercept)
               2.2569
                1.7657
x1
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.111 on 99 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.1562, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1477
F-statistic: 18.33 on 1 and 99 DF, p-value: 0.00004295
Call:
lm(formula = y \sim x2)
Residuals:
                 1Q Median
     Min
                                      30
                                                Max
-2.64729 -0.71021 -0.06899 0.72699 2.38074
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                             0.1912 12.264 < 2e-16 ***
0.6040 5.164 1.25e-06 ***
(Intercept) 2.3451
                3.1190
x2
___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.074 on 99 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.2122, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2042
F-statistic: 26.66 on 1 and 99 DF, p-value: 1.253e-06
```







7. Feedback quiz (optional): Go to <u>www.menti.com</u> and use the code 30 81 24.